

BEFORE THE BOARD OF DISCIPLINARY APPEALS Appointed By THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

MUSTAFA E. DERKUNT	§	
State Bar Card No. 00785818	§	
	§	
v.	§	CAUSE NO. 45773
	§	
COMMISSION FOR	§	
LAWYER DISCIPLINE	§	
OF THE STATE BAR OF TEXAS	§	

JUDGMENT NUNC PRO TUNC PARTIALLY AFFIRMING AND PARTIALLY REVERSING JUDGMENT OF ACTIVE SUSPENSION AND REMANDING FOR NEW SANCTIONS HEARING

On July 23, 2010, the Board of Disciplinary Appeals heard argument and considered the appeal of Mustafa E. Derkunt from the Judgment of Active Suspension signed November 26, 2009 by the 09-2 Evidentiary Panel of the State Bar of Texas District 09 grievance committee in Cause No. A0050811711.

Having heard the argument of counsel and having considered the record and briefs, the Board finds that the Evidentiary Panel's conclusion that Respondent violated Texas Disciplinary Rule of Professional Conduct 7.03(d) is erroneous as a matter of law. The Board further concludes that the sanction of active suspension for three years as well as the ancillary sanction of an award of attorney's fees and direct expenses to the State Bar of Texas should be reversed and the matter remanded for a new sanctions hearing.

The Board affirms the remainder of the judgment.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Judgment of Active Suspension signed

November 26, 2009 by the 09-2 Evidentiary Panel of the State Bar of Texas District 09 grievance

committee in Cause No. A0050811711 is hereby REVERSED as to the conclusion that Appellant

violated TDRPC 7.03(d).

It is further **ORDERED** that the sanction of three years active suspension is **REVERSED**.

It is further ORDERED that the award of attorney's fees and direct expenses is

REVERSED.

It is further **ORDERED** that the Judgment of Active Suspension is **AFFIRMED** as to the

conclusions that Appellant violated TDRPC 1.01(b)(1), 3.01, 5.04(a), 7.03(e), and 8.04(a)(1).

It is further ORDERED that the cause is REMANDED to the District 09 grievance

committee for a new hearing to determine the disciplinary sanction.

The prior BODA order referred to TDRPC 5.04(1), it should have referred to TDRPC

5.04(a), which is hereby corrected.

SIGNED this _____ day of August 2010.

Derkunt v. Comm'n for Lawyer Discipline Page 2 of 2