



**BEFORE THE BOARD OF DISCIPLINARY APPEALS
APPOINTED BY
THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS**

IN THE MATTER OF	§	
CHARLES MARVIN BRADSHAW, II	§	CAUSE NO. 58731
STATE BAR CARD NO. 00790354	§	

DEFAULT JUDGMENT OF PUBLIC REPRIMAND

On the 28th day of April 2017, the above-styled and numbered reciprocal disciplinary action was called for hearing before the Board of Disciplinary Appeals. Petitioner Commission for Lawyer Discipline of the State Bar of Texas appeared by attorney and announced ready. Respondent Charles Marvin Bradshaw II, although duly cited to appear and having notice of the hearing, failed to answer or appear and wholly made default. All issues of fact and all questions of law were submitted to the Board of Disciplinary Appeals for determination. Having considered the pleadings on file, having received evidence, and having heard the argument of counsel, the Board of Disciplinary Appeals makes the following findings and orders:

Findings of Fact. The Board of Disciplinary Appeals finds that:

- (1) Respondent, Charles Marvin Bradshaw, II, whose State Bar Card number is 00790354, is licensed by the Supreme Court of Texas to practice law but is not authorized to practice law in the State of Texas due to being administratively suspended for nonpayment of bar dues and occupational tax;

- (2) On or about March 24, 2016, an Order/Per Curiam that includes a Joint Petition for Consent Discipline, Joint Memorandum in Support of Joint Petition for Consent Discipline, Stipulation of Facts, and a Waiver of Opportunity to Withdraw was entered by the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana in a matter styled: *In Re: Charles Marvin Bradshaw, II*, No. 2016-B-0356, that states in pertinent part as follows:

IT IS ORDERED that the Petition for Consent Discipline be accepted and that Charles Marvin Bradshaw, II, Louisiana Bar Roll Number 26610, be and he hereby is publicly reprimanded....

- (3) In the Joint Petition for Consent Discipline, Respondent admitted violating Rules 1.3, 1.4, 8.4(a) and 8.4(d) and consented to the sanction of a public reprimand.
- (4) The Stipulation of Facts established that:

Respondent was retained to represent a defendant in the First Judicial District Court in Caddo Parish. In his sworn statement, Respondent testified that, at some point in the summer of 2014, he and the defendant had a disagreement concerning the representation. Though words were exchanged to the effect that the client would be securing new counsel, he never did. Further, Respondent did not withdraw from the representation. The defendant appeared in court without counsel several times. It is Respondent's position that he was never notified of these court dates and that he was under the assumption that he was no longer the defendant's counsel. Coincidentally, Respondent was in court on another matter when the defendant appeared at one setting. At that setting, the matter was set for trial. Respondent filed a motion to withdraw and the motion was granted. It is the defendant's contention that Respondent remained as his counsel after their disagreement and that he should have appeared with him at his court appearances. Nonetheless, subsequent to the argument with the defendant, Respondent had no communication with the defendant until their chance meeting almost a year later. Further, Respondent took no action to move the defendant's case during that time. As such, Respondent has violated Rule 1.3 of the Louisiana Rules of Professional Conduct, by neglecting his client's legal matter. He has also violated Rule 1.4 by failing to adequately communicate with his client for almost a year. His actions further constitute violations of Rule 8.4(a) (violating the Rules of Professional Conduct) and Rule 8.4(d) (engaging in conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice).

- (5) Respondent, Charles Marvin Bradshaw, II, is the same person as the

Charles Marvin Bradshaw, II, who is the subject of the Order/Per Curiam that includes a Joint Petition for Consent Discipline, Joint Memorandum in Support of Joint Petition for Consent Discipline, Stipulation of Facts, and a Waiver of Opportunity to Withdraw entered by the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana; and

- (6) The Order/Per Curiam that includes a Joint Petition for Consent Discipline, Joint Memorandum in Support of Joint Petition for Consent Discipline, Stipulation of Facts, and a Waiver of Opportunity to Withdraw entered by the Supreme Court of Louisiana is final.

Conclusions of Law. Based upon the foregoing findings of facts the Board of Disciplinary Appeals makes the following conclusions of law:

- (1) This Board has jurisdiction to hear and determine this matter. Rule 7.08(H), Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure;
- (2) Reciprocal discipline identical to that imposed by the Supreme Court of Louisiana is warranted in this case.

It is, accordingly, **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED** that Respondent, Charles Marvin Bradshaw, II, State Bar Card No. 00790354, is hereby **PUBLICLY REPRIMANDED** as an attorney at law in the State of Texas.

Signed this ____/____ day of May 2017.



CHAIR PRESIDING