

Board of Disciplinary Appeals

IN THE MATTER OF §
RICHARD REED FRITZ, § **CAUSE NO. 57322**
STATE BAR CARD NO. 00793760 §

Richard Reed Fritz – First Amended Petition for Reciprocal Discipline
Page 1 of 4

(Exhibit 1). Petitioner expects to introduce a certified copy of Exhibit 1 at the time of hearing of this cause.

4. On or about March 25, 2014, a document entitled Information with Notice of Default with exhibits attached was filed in the Supreme Court of Missouri in a matter styled *In Re: Richard R. Fritz, 4600 Madison Avenue, Suite 1100, Kansas City, MO 64112, 8044 Pawnee, Apt. 1, Prairie Village KS 66208, Missouri Bar No. 53606*. Attached to the Notice of Default as Exhibit B is a document entitled Information and is styled *In Re: Richard R. Fritz, MO Bar No. 53606, 8044 Pawnee, Apt. 1, Prairie Village KS 66208*, which outlines Respondent's misconduct.

5. On or about March 25, 2014, an Order was signed in the Supreme Court of Missouri in a matter styled *In Re: Richard R. Fritz, Respondent, Supreme Court No. SC94083, MBE # 53606*, that states in pertinent part as follows:

...It appearing Respondent is guilty of professional misconduct and should be disciplined;

Now, therefore, it is ordered by the Court that the said Richard R. Fritz be, and he is hereby disbarred, that his right and license to practice law in the State of Missouri is canceled and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys in this State...

6. The Information indicates that Respondent met with Ms. Willie Mae Jackson (hereinafter "Jackson") at a hotel in Dallas, Texas, to discuss a real estate matter involving land and oil rights in her family. Respondent took with him approximately 175 sheets of the Jackson's documents and promised to return them to her in two weeks. Respondent never contacted Jackson to return the documents. Jackson made numerous attempts to contact Respondent by sending text messages, emails and leaving voice messages. Respondent never returned Jackson's documents or contacted her regarding same. Jackson filed a complaint with the OCDC on January 28, 2013. The OCDC wrote to Respondent on three occasions asking for a response to the complaint but Respondent never responded. Respondent was found guilty of misconduct for violations of the


following rules: Rule 4-1.3, failing to act with reasonable diligence and promptness in handling Jackson's family land and oil matter; Rule 4-1.4, failing to keep Jackson reasonably informed about the status of her matter and failing to promptly comply with her request for information about her case; Rule 4-1.15(e), failing to maintain Jackson's property separate from his own property and failing to return same and failing to appropriately safeguard the documents; Rule 4-1.16, failing to surrender personal property to the client upon termination of his representation; and Rule 4-8.1(c), failing to respond to a lawful demand for information from the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel.

7. Petitioner prays that, pursuant to Rule 9.02, Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure, that this Board issue notice to Respondent, containing a copy of this Petition with exhibits, and an order directing Respondent to show cause within thirty (30) days from the date of the mailing of the notice, why the imposition of the identical discipline in this state would be unwarranted. Petitioner further prays that upon trial of this matter that this Board enters a judgment imposing discipline identical with that imposed by the Supreme Court of Missouri and that Petitioner have such other and further relief to which it may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

Linda A. Acevedo
Chief Disciplinary Counsel

Rebecca (Beth) Stevens
Assistant Disciplinary Counsel
Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
P.O. Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711
Telephone: 512.427.1350
Facsimile: 512.427.4167
Email: bstevens@texasbar.com



Rebecca (Beth) Stevens

Bar Card No. 24063381

ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that upon receipt of the Order to Show Cause from the Board of Disciplinary Appeals, I will serve a copy of this First Amended Petition for Reciprocal Discipline and the Order to Show Cause on Richard Reed Fritz by personal service.

Richard Reed Fritz
6914 W. 52nd Pl., Apt. 1A
Mission, Kansas 66202



Rebecca (Beth) Stevens

INTERNAL PROCEDURAL RULES

Board of Disciplinary Appeals

Effective February 19, 2015

Contents

| | |
|---|----------|
| SECTION 1: GENERAL PROVISIONS | 1 |
| Rule 1.01 Definitions..... | 1 |
| Rule 1.02 General Powers | 1 |
| Rule 1.03 Additional Rules in Disciplinary Matters..... | 1 |
| Rule 1.04 Appointment of Panels..... | 1 |
| Rule 1.05 Filing of Pleadings, Motions, and Other Papers..... | 1 |
| Rule 1.06 Service of Petition..... | 2 |
| Rule 1.07 Hearing Setting and Notice | 3 |
| Rule 1.08 Time to Answer..... | 3 |
| Rule 1.09 Pretrial Procedure..... | 3 |
| Rule 1.10 Decisions..... | 4 |
| Rule 1.11 Board of Disciplinary Appeals Opinions | 4 |
| Rule 1.12 BODA Work Product and Drafts | 5 |
| Rule 1.13 Record Retention..... | 5 |
| Rule 1.14 Costs of Reproduction of Records | 5 |
| Rule 1.15 Publication of These Rules..... | 5 |
| SECTION 2: ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS | 5 |
| Rule 2.01 Representing or Counseling Parties in Disciplinary Matters and Legal Malpractice Cases..... | 5 |
| Rule 2.02 Confidentiality | 5 |
| Rule 2.03 Disqualification and Recusal of BODA Members | 5 |
| SECTION 3: CLASSIFICATION APPEALS | 6 |
| Rule 3.01 Notice of Right to Appeal | 6 |
| Rule 3.02 Record on Appeal..... | 6 |
| SECTION 4: APPEALS FROM EVIDENTIARY PANEL HEARINGS..... | 6 |
| Rule 4.01 Perfecting Appeal..... | 6 |
| Rule 4.02 Record on Appeal..... | 7 |
| Rule 4.03 Time to File Record | 9 |
| Rule 4.04 Copies of the Record..... | 10 |
| Rule 4.05 Requisites of Briefs..... | 10 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Rule 4.06 Oral Argument | 11 |
| Rule 4.07 Decision and Judgment | 11 |
| Rule 4.08 Appointment of Statewide Grievance Committee | 12 |
| Rule 4.09 Involuntary Dismissal | 12 |
| SECTION 5: PETITIONS TO REVOKE PROBATION | 12 |
| Rule 5.01 Initiation and Service | 12 |
| Rule 5.02 Hearing | 12 |
| SECTION 6: COMPULSORY DISCIPLINE | 12 |
| Rule 6.01 Initiation of Proceeding | 12 |
| Rule 6.02 Interlocutory Suspension | 12 |
| SECTION 7: RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINE | 13 |
| Rule 7.01 Initiation of Proceeding | 13 |
| Rule 7.02 Order to Show Cause | 13 |
| Rule 7.03 Attorney’s Response | 13 |
| SECTION 8: DISTRICT DISABILITY COMMITTEE HEARINGS | 13 |
| Rule 8.01 Appointment of District Disability Committee | 13 |
| Rule 8.02 Petition and Answer | 14 |
| Rule 8.03 Discovery | 14 |
| Rule 8.04 Ability to Compel Attendance | 15 |
| Rule 8.05 Respondent’s Right to Counsel | 15 |
| Rule 8.06 Hearing | 15 |
| Rule 8.07 Notice of Decision | 15 |
| Rule 8.08 Confidentiality | 15 |
| SECTION 9: DISABILITY REINSTATEMENTS | 15 |
| Rule 9.01 Petition for Reinstatement | 15 |
| Rule 9.02 Discovery | 16 |
| Rule 9.03 Physical or Mental Examinations | 16 |
| Rule 9.04 Judgment | 16 |
| SECTION 10: APPEALS FROM BODA TO THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS | 16 |
| Rule 10.01 Appeals to the Supreme Court | 16 |

SECTION 1: GENERAL PROVISIONS

Rule 1.01 Definitions

- (a) “BODA” is the Board of Disciplinary Appeals.
- (b) “Chair” is the member elected by BODA to serve as chair or, in the Chair’s absence, the member elected by BODA to serve as vice-chair.
- (c) “Classification” is the determination by the CDC under TRDP 2.10 or by BODA under TRDP 7.08(C) whether a grievance constitutes a “complaint” or an “inquiry.”
- (d) “BODA Clerk” is the executive director of BODA or other person appointed by BODA to assume all duties normally performed by the clerk of a court.
- (e) “CDC” is the Chief Disciplinary Counsel for the State Bar of Texas and his or her assistants.
- (f) “Commission” is the Commission for Lawyer Discipline, a permanent committee of the State Bar of Texas.
- (g) “Executive Director” is the executive director of BODA.
- (h) “Panel” is any three-member grouping of BODA under TRDP 7.05.
- (i) “Party” is a Complainant, a Respondent, or the Commission.
- (j) “TDRPC” is the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (k) “TRAP” is the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure.
- (l) “TRCP” is the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.
- (m) “TRDP” is the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure.
- (n) “TRE” is the Texas Rules of Evidence.

Rule 1.02 General Powers

Under TRDP 7.08, BODA has and may exercise all the powers of either a trial court or an appellate court, as the case may be, in hearing and

determining disciplinary proceedings. But TRDP 15.01 applies to the enforcement of a judgment of BODA.

Rule 1.03 Additional Rules in Disciplinary Matters

Except as varied by these rules and to the extent applicable, the TRCP, TRAP, and TRE apply to all disciplinary matters before BODA, except for appeals from classification decisions, which are governed by TRDP 2.10 and by Section 3 of these rules.

Rule 1.04 Appointment of Panels

- (a) BODA may consider any matter or motion by panel, except as specified in (b). The Chair may delegate to the Executive Director the duty to appoint a panel for any BODA action. Decisions are made by a majority vote of the panel; however, any panel member may refer a matter for consideration by BODA sitting en banc. Nothing in these rules gives a party the right to be heard by BODA sitting en banc.
- (b) Any disciplinary matter naming a BODA member as Respondent must be considered by BODA sitting en banc. A disciplinary matter naming a BODA staff member as Respondent need not be heard en banc.

Rule 1.05 Filing of Pleadings, Motions, and Other Papers

- (a) **Electronic Filing.** All documents must be filed electronically. Unrepresented persons or those without the means to file electronically may electronically file documents, but it is not required.
- (1) **Email Address.** The email address of an attorney or an unrepresented party who electronically files a document must be included on the document.
- (2) **Timely Filing.** Documents are filed electronically by emailing the document to the BODA Clerk at the email address designated by BODA

for that purpose. A document filed by email will be considered filed the day that the email is sent. The date sent is the date shown for the message in the inbox of the email account designated for receiving filings. If a document is sent after 5:00 p.m. or on a weekend or holiday officially observed by the State of Texas, it is considered filed the next business day.

- (3) It is the responsibility of the party filing a document by email to obtain the correct email address for BODA and to confirm that the document was received by BODA in legible form. Any document that is illegible or that cannot be opened as part of an email attachment will not be considered filed. If a document is untimely due to a technical failure or a system outage, the filing party may seek appropriate relief from BODA.
- (4) **Exceptions.**
 - (i) An appeal to BODA of a decision by the CDC to classify a grievance as an inquiry is not required to be filed electronically.
 - (ii) The following documents must not be filed electronically:
 - a) documents that are filed under seal or subject to a pending motion to seal; and
 - b) documents to which access is otherwise restricted by court order.
 - (iii) For good cause, BODA may permit a party to file other documents in paper form in a particular case.
- (5) **Format.** An electronically filed document must:
 - (i) be in text-searchable portable

document format (PDF);

- (ii) be directly converted to PDF rather than scanned, if possible; and
 - (iii) not be locked.
- (b) A paper will not be deemed filed if it is sent to an individual BODA member or to another address other than the address designated by BODA under Rule 1.05(a)(2).
 - (c) **Signing.** Each brief, motion, or other paper filed must be signed by at least one attorney for the party or by the party pro se and must give the State Bar of Texas card number, mailing address, telephone number, email address, and fax number, if any, of each attorney whose name is signed or of the party (if applicable). A document is considered signed if the document includes:
 - (1) an “/s/” and name typed in the space where the signature would otherwise appear, unless the document is notarized or sworn; or
 - (2) an electronic image or scanned image of the signature.
 - (d) **Paper Copies.** Unless required by BODA, a party need not file a paper copy of an electronically filed document.
 - (e) **Service.** Copies of all documents filed by any party other than the record filed by the evidentiary panel clerk or the court reporter must, at or before the time of filing, be served on all other parties as required and authorized by the TRAP.

Rule 1.06 Service of Petition

In any disciplinary proceeding before BODA initiated by service of a petition on the Respondent, the petition may be served by personal service; by certified mail with return receipt requested; or, if permitted by BODA, in any other manner that is authorized by the TRCP and reasonably calculated under all the circumstances to apprise the Respondent of the proceeding and to give him or

her reasonable time to appear and answer. To establish service by certified mail, the return receipt must contain the Respondent's signature.

Rule 1.07 Hearing Setting and Notice

- (a) **Original Petitions.** In any kind of case initiated by the CDC's filing a petition or motion with BODA, the CDC may contact the BODA Clerk for the next regularly available hearing date before filing the original petition. If a hearing is set before the petition is filed, the petition must state the date, time, and place of the hearing. Except in the case of a petition to revoke probation under TRDP 2.23, the hearing date must be at least 30 days from the date that the petition is served on the Respondent.
- (b) **Expedited Settings.** If a party desires a hearing on a matter on a date earlier than the next regularly available BODA hearing date, the party may request an expedited setting in a written motion setting out the reasons for the request. Unless the parties agree otherwise, and except in the case of a petition to revoke probation under TRDP 2.23, the expedited hearing setting must be at least 30 days from the date of service of the petition, motion, or other pleading. BODA has the sole discretion to grant or deny a request for an expedited hearing date.
- (c) **Setting Notices.** BODA must notify the parties of any hearing date that is not noticed in an original petition or motion.
- (d) **Announcement Docket.** Attorneys and parties appearing before BODA must confirm their presence and present any questions regarding procedure to the BODA Clerk in the courtroom immediately prior to the time docket call is scheduled to begin. Each party with a matter on the docket must appear at the docket call to give an announcement of readiness, to give a time estimate for the hearing, and to present any preliminary motions or matters. Immediately

following the docket call, the Chair will set and announce the order of cases to be heard.

Rule 1.08 Time to Answer

The Respondent may file an answer at any time, except where expressly provided otherwise by these rules or the TRDP, or when an answer date has been set by prior order of BODA. BODA may, but is not required to, consider an answer filed the day of the hearing.

Rule 1.09 Pretrial Procedure

- (a) **Motions.**
 - (1) **Generally.** To request an order or other relief, a party must file a motion supported by sufficient cause with proof of service on all other parties. The motion must state with particularity the grounds on which it is based and set forth the relief sought. All supporting briefs, affidavits, or other documents must be served and filed with the motion. A party may file a response to a motion at any time before BODA rules on the motion or by any deadline set by BODA. Unless otherwise required by these rules or the TRDP, the form of a motion must comply with the TRCP or the TRAP.
 - (2) **For Extension of Time.** All motions for extension of time in any matter before BODA must be in writing, comply with (a)(1), and specify the following:
 - (i) if applicable, the date of notice of decision of the evidentiary panel, together with the number and style of the case;
 - (ii) if an appeal has been perfected, the date when the appeal was perfected;
 - (iii) the original deadline for filing the item in question;

- (iv) the length of time requested for the extension;
 - (v) the number of extensions of time that have been granted previously regarding the item in question; and
 - (vi) the facts relied on to reasonably explain the need for an extension.
- (b) **Pretrial Scheduling Conference.** Any party may request a pretrial scheduling conference, or BODA on its own motion may require a pretrial scheduling conference.
- (c) **Trial Briefs.** In any disciplinary proceeding before BODA, except with leave, all trial briefs and memoranda must be filed with the BODA Clerk no later than ten days before the day of the hearing.
- (d) **Hearing Exhibits, Witness Lists, and Exhibits Tendered for Argument.** A party may file a witness list, exhibit, or any other document to be used at a hearing or oral argument before the hearing or argument. A party must bring to the hearing an original and 12 copies of any document that was not filed at least one business day before the hearing. The original and copies must be:
 - (1) marked;
 - (2) indexed with the title or description of the item offered as an exhibit; and
 - (3) if voluminous, bound to lie flat when open and tabbed in accordance with the index.

All documents must be marked and provided to the opposing party before the hearing or argument begins.

Rule 1.10 Decisions

- (a) **Notice of Decisions.** The BODA Clerk must give notice of all decisions and opinions to the parties or their attorneys of record.

- (b) **Publication of Decisions.** BODA must report judgments or orders of public discipline:
 - (1) as required by the TRDP; and
 - (2) on its website for a period of at least ten years following the date of the disciplinary judgment or order.
- (c) **Abstracts of Classification Appeals.** BODA may, in its discretion, prepare an abstract of a classification appeal for a public reporting service.

Rule 1.11 Board of Disciplinary Appeals Opinions

- (a) BODA may render judgment in any disciplinary matter with or without written opinion. In accordance with TRDP 6.06, all written opinions of BODA are open to the public and must be made available to the public reporting services, print or electronic, for publishing. A majority of the members who participate in considering the disciplinary matter must determine if an opinion will be written. The names of the participating members must be noted on all written opinions of BODA.
- (b) Only a BODA member who participated in the decision of a disciplinary matter may file or join in a written opinion concurring in or dissenting from the judgment of BODA. For purposes of this rule, in hearings in which evidence is taken, no member may participate in the decision unless that member was present at the hearing. In all other proceedings, no member may participate unless that member has reviewed the record. Any member of BODA may file a written opinion in connection with the denial of a hearing or rehearing en banc.
- (c) A BODA determination in an appeal from a grievance classification decision under TRDP 2.10 is not a judgment for purposes of this rule and may be issued without a written opinion.

Rule 1.12 BODA Work Product and Drafts

A document or record of any nature—regardless of its form, characteristics, or means of transmission—that is created or produced in connection with or related to BODA’s adjudicative decision-making process is not subject to disclosure or discovery. This includes documents prepared by any BODA member, BODA staff, or any other person acting on behalf of or at the direction of BODA.

Rule 1.13 Record Retention

Records of appeals from classification decisions must be retained by the BODA Clerk for a period of at least three years from the date of disposition. Records of other disciplinary matters must be retained for a period of at least five years from the date of final judgment, or for at least one year after the date a suspension or disbarment ends, whichever is later. For purposes of this rule, a record is any document, paper, letter, map, book, tape, photograph, film, recording, or other material filed with BODA, regardless of its form, characteristics, or means of transmission.

Rule 1.14 Costs of Reproduction of Records

The BODA Clerk may charge a reasonable amount for the reproduction of nonconfidential records filed with BODA. The fee must be paid in advance to the BODA Clerk.

Rule 1.15 Publication of These Rules

These rules will be published as part of the TDRPC and TRDP.

SECTION 2: ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Rule 2.01 Representing or Counseling Parties in Disciplinary Matters and Legal Malpractice Cases

- (a) A current member of BODA must not represent a party or testify voluntarily in a disciplinary action or proceeding. Any BODA member who is subpoenaed or otherwise compelled to appear at a disciplinary action or proceeding, including at a deposition, must promptly notify the BODA Chair.

- (b) A current BODA member must not serve as an expert witness on the TDRPC.
- (c) A BODA member may represent a party in a legal malpractice case, provided that he or she is later recused in accordance with these rules from any proceeding before BODA arising out of the same facts.

Rule 2.02 Confidentiality

- (a) BODA deliberations are confidential, must not be disclosed by BODA members or staff, and are not subject to disclosure or discovery.
- (b) Classification appeals, appeals from evidentiary judgments of private reprimand, appeals from an evidentiary judgment dismissing a case, interlocutory appeals or any interim proceedings from an ongoing evidentiary case, and disability cases are confidential under the TRDP. BODA must maintain all records associated with these cases as confidential, subject to disclosure only as provided in the TRDP and these rules.
- (c) If a member of BODA is subpoenaed or otherwise compelled by law to testify in any proceeding, the member must not disclose a matter that was discussed in conference in connection with a disciplinary case unless the member is required to do so by a court of competent jurisdiction.

Rule 2.03 Disqualification and Recusal of BODA Members

- (a) BODA members are subject to disqualification and recusal as provided in TRCP 18b.
- (b) BODA members may, in addition to recusals under (a), voluntarily recuse themselves from any discussion and voting for any reason. The reasons that a BODA member is recused from a case are not subject to discovery.
- (c) These rules do not disqualify a lawyer who is a member of, or associated with,

the law firm of a BODA member from serving on a grievance committee or representing a party in a disciplinary proceeding or legal malpractice case. But a BODA member must recuse him- or herself from any matter in which a lawyer who is a member of, or associated with, the BODA member's firm is a party or represents a party.

SECTION 3: CLASSIFICATION APPEALS

Rule 3.01 Notice of Right to Appeal

- (a) If a grievance filed by the Complainant under TRDP 2.10 is classified as an inquiry, the CDC must notify the Complainant of his or her right to appeal as set out in TRDP 2.10 or another applicable rule.
- (b) To facilitate the potential filing of an appeal of a grievance classified as an inquiry, the CDC must send the Complainant an appeal notice form, approved by BODA, with the classification disposition. The form must include the docket number of the matter; the deadline for appealing; and information for mailing, faxing, or emailing the appeal notice form to BODA. The appeal notice form must be available in English and Spanish.

Rule 3.02 Record on Appeal

BODA must only consider documents that were filed with the CDC prior to the classification decision. When a notice of appeal from a classification decision has been filed, the CDC must forward to BODA a copy of the grievance and all supporting documentation. If the appeal challenges the classification of an amended grievance, the CDC must also send BODA a copy of the initial grievance, unless it has been destroyed.

SECTION 4: APPEALS FROM EVIDENTIARY PANEL HEARINGS

Rule 4.01 Perfecting Appeal

- (a) **Appellate Timetable.** The date that the evidentiary judgment is signed starts the

appellate timetable under this section. To make TRDP 2.21 consistent with this requirement, the date that the judgment is signed is the "date of notice" under Rule 2.21.

- (b) **Notification of the Evidentiary Judgment.** The clerk of the evidentiary panel must notify the parties of the judgment as set out in TRDP 2.21.

- (1) The evidentiary panel clerk must notify the Commission and the Respondent in writing of the judgment. The notice must contain a clear statement that any appeal of the judgment must be filed with BODA within 30 days of the date that the judgment was signed. The notice must include a copy of the judgment rendered.

- (2) The evidentiary panel clerk must notify the Complainant that a judgment has been rendered and provide a copy of the judgment, unless the evidentiary panel dismissed the case or imposed a private reprimand. In the case of a dismissal or private reprimand, the evidentiary panel clerk must notify the Complainant of the decision and that the contents of the judgment are confidential. Under TRDP 2.16, no additional information regarding the contents of a judgment of dismissal or private reprimand may be disclosed to the Complainant.

- (c) **Filing Notice of Appeal.** An appeal is perfected when a written notice of appeal is filed with BODA. If a notice of appeal and any other accompanying documents are mistakenly filed with the evidentiary panel clerk, the notice is deemed to have been filed the same day with BODA, and the evidentiary panel clerk must immediately send the BODA Clerk a copy of the notice and any accompanying documents.

- (d) **Time to File.** In accordance with TRDP 2.24, the notice of appeal must be filed within 30 days after the date the judgment is signed. In the event a motion for new trial or motion to modify the judgment is timely filed with the evidentiary panel, the notice of appeal must be filed with BODA within 90 days from the date the judgment is signed.
- (e) **Extension of Time.** A motion for an extension of time to file the notice of appeal must be filed no later than 15 days after the last day allowed for filing the notice of appeal. The motion must comply with Rule 1.09.

Rule 4.02 Record on Appeal

- (a) **Contents.** The record on appeal consists of the evidentiary panel clerk's record and, where necessary to the appeal, a reporter's record of the evidentiary panel hearing.
- (b) **Stipulation as to Record.** The parties may designate parts of the clerk's record and the reporter's record to be included in the record on appeal by written stipulation filed with the clerk of the evidentiary panel.
- (c) **Responsibility for Filing Record.**
 - (1) Clerk's Record.
 - (i) After receiving notice that an appeal has been filed, the clerk of the evidentiary panel is responsible for preparing, certifying, and timely filing the clerk's record.
 - (ii) Unless the parties stipulate otherwise, the clerk's record on appeal must contain the items listed in TRAP 34.5(a) and any other paper on file with the evidentiary panel, including the election letter, all pleadings on which the hearing was held, the docket sheet, the evidentiary panel's charge, any findings of

fact and conclusions of law, all other pleadings, the judgment or other orders appealed from, the notice of decision sent to each party, any postsubmission pleadings and briefs, and the notice of appeal.

- (iii) If the clerk of the evidentiary panel is unable for any reason to prepare and transmit the clerk's record by the due date, he or she must promptly notify BODA and the parties, explain why the clerk's record cannot be timely filed, and give the date by which he or she expects the clerk's record to be filed.

(2) Reporter's Record.

- (i) The court reporter for the evidentiary panel is responsible for timely filing the reporter's record if:
 - a) a notice of appeal has been filed;
 - b) a party has requested that all or part of the reporter's record be prepared; and
 - c) the party requesting all or part of the reporter's record has paid the reporter's fee or has made satisfactory arrangements with the reporter.
- (ii) If the court reporter is unable for any reason to prepare and transmit the reporter's record by the due date, he or she must promptly notify BODA and the parties, explain the reasons why the reporter's record cannot be timely filed, and give the date by which he or she expects the reporter's record to be filed.

(d) Preparation of Clerk's Record.

- (1) To prepare the clerk's record, the

evidentiary panel clerk must:

- (i) gather the documents designated by the parties' written stipulation or, if no stipulation was filed, the documents required under (c)(1)(ii);
 - (ii) start each document on a new page;
 - (iii) include the date of filing on each document;
 - (iv) arrange the documents in chronological order, either by the date of filing or the date of occurrence;
 - (v) number the pages of the clerk's record in the manner required by (d)(2);
 - (vi) prepare and include, after the front cover of the clerk's record, a detailed table of contents that complies with (d)(3); and
 - (vii) certify the clerk's record.
- (2) The clerk must start the page numbering on the front cover of the first volume of the clerk's record and continue to number all pages consecutively—including the front and back covers, tables of contents, certification page, and separator pages, if any—until the final page of the clerk's record, without regard for the number of volumes in the clerk's record, and place each page number at the bottom of each page.
- (3) The table of contents must:
- (i) identify each document in the entire record (including sealed documents); the date each document was filed; and, except for sealed documents, the page on which each

document begins;

- (ii) be double-spaced;
 - (iii) conform to the order in which documents appear in the clerk's record, rather than in alphabetical order;
 - (iv) contain bookmarks linking each description in the table of contents (except for descriptions of sealed documents) to the page on which the document begins; and
 - (v) if the record consists of multiple volumes, indicate the page on which each volume begins.
- (e) **Electronic Filing of the Clerk's Record.** The evidentiary panel clerk must file the record electronically. When filing a clerk's record in electronic form, the evidentiary panel clerk must:
- (1) file each computer file in text-searchable Portable Document Format (PDF);
 - (2) create electronic bookmarks to mark the first page of each document in the clerk's record;
 - (3) limit the size of each computer file to 100 MB or less, if possible; and
 - (4) directly convert, rather than scan, the record to PDF, if possible.
- (f) **Preparation of the Reporter's Record.**
- (1) The appellant, at or before the time prescribed for perfecting the appeal, must make a written request for the reporter's record to the court reporter for the evidentiary panel. The request must designate the portion of the evidence and other proceedings to be included. A copy of the request must be filed with the evidentiary panel and BODA and must be served on the appellee. The

reporter's record must be certified by the court reporter for the evidentiary panel.

- (2) The court reporter or recorder must prepare and file the reporter's record in accordance with TRAP 34.6 and 35 and the Uniform Format Manual for Texas Reporters' Records.
- (3) The court reporter or recorder must file the reporter's record in an electronic format by emailing the document to the email address designated by BODA for that purpose.
- (4) The court reporter or recorder must include either a scanned image of any required signature or "/s/" and name typed in the space where the signature would otherwise appear.
- (5) A court reporter or recorder must not lock any document that is part of the record.
- (6) In exhibit volumes, the court reporter or recorder must create bookmarks to mark the first page of each exhibit document.
- (g) **Other Requests.** At any time before the clerk's record is prepared, or within ten days after service of a copy of appellant's request for the reporter's record, any party may file a written designation requesting that additional exhibits and portions of testimony be included in the record. The request must be filed with the evidentiary panel and BODA and must be served on the other party.
- (h) **Inaccuracies or Defects.** If the clerk's record is found to be defective or inaccurate, the BODA Clerk must inform the clerk of the evidentiary panel of the defect or inaccuracy and instruct the clerk to make the correction. Any inaccuracies in the reporter's record may be corrected by agreement of the parties without the court reporter's recertification. Any dispute regarding the reporter's record

that the parties are unable to resolve by agreement must be resolved by the evidentiary panel.

- (i) **Appeal from Private Reprimand.** Under TRDP 2.16, in an appeal from a judgment of private reprimand, BODA must mark the record as confidential, remove the attorney's name from the case style, and take any other steps necessary to preserve the confidentiality of the private reprimand.

Rule 4.03 Time to File Record

- (a) **Timetable.** The clerk's record and reporter's record must be filed within 60 days after the date the judgment is signed. If a motion for new trial or motion to modify the judgment is filed with the evidentiary panel, the clerk's record and the reporter's record must be filed within 120 days from the date the original judgment is signed, unless a modified judgment is signed, in which case the clerk's record and the reporter's record must be filed within 60 days of the signing of the modified judgment. Failure to file either the clerk's record or the reporter's record on time does not affect BODA's jurisdiction, but may result in BODA's exercising its discretion to dismiss the appeal, affirm the judgment appealed from, disregard materials filed late, or apply presumptions against the appellant.
- (b) **If No Record Filed.**
 - (1) If the clerk's record or reporter's record has not been timely filed, the BODA Clerk must send notice to the party responsible for filing it, stating that the record is late and requesting that the record be filed within 30 days. The BODA Clerk must send a copy of this notice to all the parties and the clerk of the evidentiary panel.
 - (2) If no reporter's record is filed due to appellant's fault, and if the clerk's

record has been filed, BODA may, after first giving the appellant notice and a reasonable opportunity to cure, consider and decide those issues or points that do not require a reporter's record for a decision. BODA may do this if no reporter's record has been filed because:

- (i) the appellant failed to request a reporter's record; or
 - (ii) the appellant failed to pay or make arrangements to pay the reporter's fee to prepare the reporter's record, and the appellant is not entitled to proceed without payment of costs.
- (c) **Extension of Time to File the Reporter's Record.** When an extension of time is requested for filing the reporter's record, the facts relied on to reasonably explain the need for an extension must be supported by an affidavit of the court reporter. The affidavit must include the court reporter's estimate of the earliest date when the reporter's record will be available for filing.
- (d) **Supplemental Record.** If anything material to either party is omitted from the clerk's record or reporter's record, BODA may, on written motion of a party or on its own motion, direct a supplemental record to be certified and transmitted by the clerk for the evidentiary panel or the court reporter for the evidentiary panel.

Rule 4.04 Copies of the Record

The record may not be withdrawn from the custody of the BODA Clerk. Any party may obtain a copy of the record or any designated part thereof by making a written request to the BODA Clerk and paying any charges for reproduction in advance.

Rule 4.05 Requisites of Briefs

- (a) **Appellant's Filing Date.** Appellant's brief must be filed within 30 days after

the clerk's record or the reporter's record is filed, whichever is later.

- (b) **Appellee's Filing Date.** Appellee's brief must be filed within 30 days after the appellant's brief is filed.
- (c) **Contents.** Briefs must contain:
 - (1) a complete list of the names and addresses of all parties to the final decision and their counsel;
 - (2) a table of contents indicating the subject matter of each issue or point, or group of issues or points, with page references where the discussion of each point relied on may be found;
 - (3) an index of authorities arranged alphabetically and indicating the pages where the authorities are cited;
 - (4) a statement of the case containing a brief general statement of the nature of the cause or offense and the result;
 - (5) a statement, without argument, of the basis of BODA's jurisdiction;
 - (6) a statement of the issues presented for review or points of error on which the appeal is predicated;
 - (7) a statement of facts that is without argument, is supported by record references, and details the facts relating to the issues or points relied on in the appeal;
 - (8) the argument and authorities;
 - (9) conclusion and prayer for relief;
 - (10) a certificate of service; and
 - (11) an appendix of record excerpts pertinent to the issues presented for review.
- (d) **Length of Briefs; Contents Included and Excluded.** In calculating the length of a document, every word and every part

of the document, including headings, footnotes, and quotations, must be counted except the following: caption, identity of the parties and counsel, statement regarding oral argument, table of contents, index of authorities, statement of the case, statement of issues presented, statement of the jurisdiction, signature, proof of service, certificate of compliance, and appendix. Briefs must not exceed 15,000 words if computer-generated, and 50 pages if not, except on leave of BODA. A reply brief must not exceed 7,500 words if computer-generated, and 25 pages if not, except on leave of BODA. A computer-generated document must include a certificate by counsel or the unrepresented party stating the number of words in the document. The person who signs the certification may rely on the word count of the computer program used to prepare the document.

- (e) **Amendment or Supplementation.** BODA has discretion to grant leave to amend or supplement briefs.
- (f) **Failure of the Appellant to File a Brief.** If the appellant fails to timely file a brief, BODA may:
 - (1) dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution, unless the appellant reasonably explains the failure, and the appellee is not significantly injured by the appellant's failure to timely file a brief;
 - (2) decline to dismiss the appeal and make further orders within its discretion as it considers proper; or
 - (3) if an appellee's brief is filed, regard that brief as correctly presenting the case and affirm the evidentiary panel's judgment on that brief without examining the record.

Rule 4.06 Oral Argument

- (a) **Request.** A party desiring oral argument must note the request on the front cover of the party's brief. A party's failure to

timely request oral argument waives the party's right to argue. A party who has requested argument may later withdraw the request. But even if a party has waived oral argument, BODA may direct the party to appear and argue. If oral argument is granted, the clerk will notify the parties of the time and place for submission.

- (b) **Right to Oral Argument.** A party who has filed a brief and who has timely requested oral argument may argue the case to BODA unless BODA, after examining the briefs, decides that oral argument is unnecessary for any of the following reasons:
 - (1) the appeal is frivolous;
 - (2) the dispositive issue or issues have been authoritatively decided;
 - (3) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented in the briefs and record; or
 - (4) the decisional process would not be significantly aided by oral argument.
- (c) **Time Allowed.** Each party will have 20 minutes to argue. BODA may, on the request of a party or on its own, extend or shorten the time allowed for oral argument. The appellant may reserve a portion of his or her allotted time for rebuttal.

Rule 4.07 Decision and Judgment

- (a) **Decision.** BODA may do any of the following:
 - (1) affirm in whole or in part the decision of the evidentiary panel;
 - (2) modify the panel's findings and affirm the findings as modified;
 - (3) reverse in whole or in part the panel's findings and render the decision that the panel should have rendered; or
 - (4) reverse the panel's findings and

remand the cause for further proceedings to be conducted by:

- (i) the panel that entered the findings; or
 - (ii) a statewide grievance committee panel appointed by BODA and composed of members selected from the state bar districts other than the district from which the appeal was taken.
- (b) **Mandate.** In every appeal, the BODA Clerk must issue a mandate in accordance with BODA's judgment and send it to the evidentiary panel and to all the parties.

Rule 4.08 Appointment of Statewide Grievance Committee

If BODA remands a cause for further proceedings before a statewide grievance committee, the BODA Chair will appoint the statewide grievance committee in accordance with TRDP 2.27. The committee must consist of six members: four attorney members and two public members randomly selected from the current pool of grievance committee members. Two alternates, consisting of one attorney and one public member, must also be selected. BODA will appoint the initial chair who will serve until the members of the statewide grievance committee elect a chair of the committee at the first meeting. The BODA Clerk will notify the Respondent and the CDC that a committee has been appointed.

Rule 4.09 Involuntary Dismissal

Under the following circumstances and on any party's motion or on its own initiative after giving at least ten days' notice to all parties, BODA may dismiss the appeal or affirm the appealed judgment or order. Dismissal or affirmance may occur if the appeal is subject to dismissal:

- (a) for want of jurisdiction;
- (b) for want of prosecution; or
- (c) because the appellant has failed to comply with a requirement of these rules, a court order, or a notice from the clerk requiring

a response or other action within a specified time.

SECTION 5: PETITIONS TO REVOKE PROBATION

Rule 5.01 Initiation and Service

- (a) Before filing a motion to revoke the probation of an attorney who has been sanctioned, the CDC must contact the BODA Clerk to confirm whether the next regularly available hearing date will comply with the 30-day requirement of TRDP. The Chair may designate a three-member panel to hear the motion, if necessary, to meet the 30-day requirement of TRDP 2.23.
- (b) Upon filing the motion, the CDC must serve the Respondent with the motion and any supporting documents in accordance with TRDP 2.23, the TRCP, and these rules. The CDC must notify BODA of the date that service is obtained on the Respondent.

Rule 5.02 Hearing

Within 30 days of service of the motion on the Respondent, BODA must docket and set the matter for a hearing and notify the parties of the time and place of the hearing. On a showing of good cause by a party or on its own motion, BODA may continue the case to a future hearing date as circumstances require.

SECTION 6: COMPULSORY DISCIPLINE

Rule 6.01 Initiation of Proceeding

Under TRDP 8.03, the CDC must file a petition for compulsory discipline with BODA and serve the Respondent in accordance with the TRDP and Rule 1.06 of these rules.

Rule 6.02 Interlocutory Suspension

- (a) **Interlocutory Suspension.** In any compulsory proceeding under TRDP Part VIII in which BODA determines that the Respondent has been convicted of an Intentional Crime and that the criminal conviction is on direct appeal, BODA may suspend the Respondent's license to

practice law by interlocutory order. In any compulsory case in which BODA has imposed an interlocutory order of suspension, BODA retains jurisdiction to render final judgment after the direct appeal of the criminal conviction is final. For purposes of rendering final judgment in a compulsory discipline case, the direct appeal of the criminal conviction is final when the appellate court issues its mandate.

- (b) **Criminal Conviction Affirmed.** If the criminal conviction made the basis of a compulsory interlocutory suspension is affirmed and becomes final, the CDC must file a motion for final judgment that complies with TRDP 8.05.

- (1) If the criminal sentence is fully probated or is an order of deferred adjudication, the motion for final judgment must contain notice of a hearing date. The motion will be set on BODA's next available hearing date.
- (2) If the criminal sentence is not fully probated:
 - (i) BODA may proceed to decide the motion without a hearing if the attorney does not file a verified denial within ten days of service of the motion; or
 - (ii) BODA may set the motion for a hearing on the next available hearing date if the attorney timely files a verified denial.
- (c) **Criminal Conviction Reversed.** If an appellate court issues a mandate reversing the criminal conviction while a Respondent is subject to an interlocutory suspension, the Respondent may file a motion to terminate the interlocutory suspension. The motion to terminate the interlocutory suspension must have certified copies of the decision and mandate of the reversing court

attached. If the CDC does not file an opposition to the termination within ten days of being served with the motion, BODA may proceed to decide the motion without a hearing or set the matter for a hearing on its own motion. If the CDC timely opposes the motion, BODA must set the motion for a hearing on its next available hearing date. An order terminating an interlocutory order of suspension does not automatically reinstate a Respondent's license.

SECTION 7: RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINE

Rule 7.01 Initiation of Proceeding

The Commission for Lawyer Discipline may initiate an action for reciprocal discipline by filing a petition with BODA under TRDP Part IX and these rules. The petition must request that the Respondent be disciplined in Texas and have attached to it any information concerning the disciplinary matter from the other jurisdiction, including a certified copy of the order or judgment rendered against the Respondent.

Rule 7.02 Order to Show Cause

When a petition is filed, the Chair immediately issues a show cause order and a hearing notice and forwards them to the CDC, who must serve the order and notice on the Respondent. The CDC must notify BODA of the date that service is obtained.

Rule 7.03 Attorney's Response

If the Respondent does not file an answer within 30 days of being served with the order and notice but thereafter appears at the hearing, BODA may, at the discretion of the Chair, receive testimony from the Respondent relating to the merits of the petition.

SECTION 8: DISTRICT DISABILITY COMMITTEE HEARINGS

Rule 8.01 Appointment of District Disability Committee

- (a) If the evidentiary panel of the grievance committee finds under TRDP 2.17(P)(2),

or the CDC reasonably believes under TRDP 2.14(C), that a Respondent is suffering from a disability, the rules in this section will apply to the de novo proceeding before the District Disability Committee held under TRDP Part XII.

- (b) Upon receiving an evidentiary panel's finding or the CDC's referral that an attorney is believed to be suffering from a disability, the BODA Chair must appoint a District Disability Committee in compliance with TRDP 12.02 and designate a chair. BODA will reimburse District Disability Committee members for reasonable expenses directly related to service on the District Disability Committee. The BODA Clerk must notify the CDC and the Respondent that a committee has been appointed and notify the Respondent where to locate the procedural rules governing disability proceedings.
- (c) A Respondent who has been notified that a disability referral will be or has been made to BODA may, at any time, waive in writing the appointment of the District Disability Committee or the hearing before the District Disability Committee and enter into an agreed judgment of indefinite disability suspension, provided that the Respondent is competent to waive the hearing. If the Respondent is not represented, the waiver must include a statement affirming that the Respondent has been advised of the right to appointed counsel and waives that right as well.
- (d) All pleadings, motions, briefs, or other matters to be filed with the District Disability Committee must be filed with the BODA Clerk.
- (e) Should any member of the District Disability Committee become unable to serve, the BODA Chair may appoint a substitute member.

Rule 8.02 Petition and Answer

- (a) **Petition.** Upon being notified that the District Disability Committee has been appointed by BODA, the CDC must, within 20 days, file with the BODA Clerk and serve on the Respondent a copy of a petition for indefinite disability suspension. Service may be made in person or by certified mail, return receipt requested. If service is by certified mail, the return receipt with the Respondent's signature must be filed with the BODA Clerk.
- (b) **Answer.** The Respondent must, within 30 days after service of the petition for indefinite disability suspension, file an answer with the BODA Clerk and serve a copy of the answer on the CDC.
- (c) **Hearing Setting.** The BODA Clerk must set the final hearing as instructed by the chair of the District Disability Committee and send notice of the hearing to the parties.

Rule 8.03 Discovery

- (a) **Limited Discovery.** The District Disability Committee may permit limited discovery. The party seeking discovery must file with the BODA Clerk a written request that makes a clear showing of good cause and substantial need and a proposed order. If the District Disability Committee authorizes discovery in a case, it must issue a written order. The order may impose limitations or deadlines on the discovery.
- (b) **Physical or Mental Examinations.** On written motion by the Commission or on its own motion, the District Disability Committee may order the Respondent to submit to a physical or mental examination by a qualified healthcare or mental healthcare professional. Nothing in this rule limits the Respondent's right to an examination by a professional of his or her choice in addition to any exam

ordered by the District Disability Committee.

- (1) **Motion.** The Respondent must be given reasonable notice of the examination by written order specifying the name, address, and telephone number of the person conducting the examination.
- (2) **Report.** The examining professional must file with the BODA Clerk a detailed, written report that includes the results of all tests performed and the professional's findings, diagnoses, and conclusions. The professional must send a copy of the report to the CDC and the Respondent.
- (c) **Objections.** A party must make any objection to a request for discovery within 15 days of receiving the motion by filing a written objection with the BODA Clerk. BODA may decide any objection or contest to a discovery motion.

Rule 8.04 Ability to Compel Attendance

The Respondent and the CDC may confront and cross-examine witnesses at the hearing. Compulsory process to compel the attendance of witnesses by subpoena, enforceable by an order of a district court of proper jurisdiction, is available to the Respondent and the CDC as provided in TRCP 176.

Rule 8.05 Respondent's Right to Counsel

- (a) The notice to the Respondent that a District Disability Committee has been appointed and the petition for indefinite disability suspension must state that the Respondent may request appointment of counsel by BODA to represent him or her at the disability hearing. BODA will reimburse appointed counsel for reasonable expenses directly related to representation of the Respondent.
- (b) To receive appointed counsel under TRDP 12.02, the Respondent must file a written request with the BODA Clerk

within 30 days of the date that Respondent is served with the petition for indefinite disability suspension. A late request must demonstrate good cause for the Respondent's failure to file a timely request.

Rule 8.06 Hearing

The party seeking to establish the disability must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the Respondent is suffering from a disability as defined in the TRDP. The chair of the District Disability Committee must admit all relevant evidence that is necessary for a fair and complete hearing. The TRE are advisory but not binding on the chair.

Rule 8.07 Notice of Decision

The District Disability Committee must certify its finding regarding disability to BODA, which will issue the final judgment in the matter.

Rule 8.08 Confidentiality

All proceedings before the District Disability Committee and BODA, if necessary, are closed to the public. All matters before the District Disability Committee are confidential and are not subject to disclosure or discovery, except as allowed by the TRDP or as may be required in the event of an appeal to the Supreme Court of Texas.

SECTION 9: DISABILITY REINSTATEMENTS

Rule 9.01 Petition for Reinstatement

- (a) An attorney under an indefinite disability suspension may, at any time after he or she has been suspended, file a verified petition with BODA to have the suspension terminated and to be reinstated to the practice of law. The petitioner must serve a copy of the petition on the CDC in the manner required by TRDP 12.06. The TRCP apply to a reinstatement proceeding unless they conflict with these rules.
- (b) The petition must include the information required by TRDP 12.06. If the judgment of disability suspension contained terms

or conditions relating to misconduct by the petitioner prior to the suspension, the petition must affirmatively demonstrate that those terms have been complied with or explain why they have not been satisfied. The petitioner has a duty to amend and keep current all information in the petition until the final hearing on the merits. Failure to do so may result in dismissal without notice.

- (c) Disability reinstatement proceedings before BODA are not confidential; however, BODA may make all or any part of the record of the proceeding confidential.

Rule 9.02 Discovery

The discovery period is 60 days from the date that the petition for reinstatement is filed. The BODA Clerk will set the petition for a hearing on the first date available after the close of the discovery period and must notify the parties of the time and place of the hearing. BODA may continue the hearing for good cause shown.

Rule 9.03 Physical or Mental Examinations

- (a) On written motion by the Commission or on its own, BODA may order the petitioner seeking reinstatement to submit to a physical or mental examination by a qualified healthcare or mental healthcare professional. The petitioner must be served with a copy of the motion and given at least seven days to respond. BODA may hold a hearing before ruling on the motion but is not required to do so.
- (b) The petitioner must be given reasonable notice of the examination by written order specifying the name, address, and telephone number of the person conducting the examination.
- (c) The examining professional must file a detailed, written report that includes the results of all tests performed and the professional's findings, diagnoses, and conclusions. The professional must send a copy of the report to the parties.

- (d) If the petitioner fails to submit to an examination as ordered, BODA may dismiss the petition without notice.
- (e) Nothing in this rule limits the petitioner's right to an examination by a professional of his or her choice in addition to any exam ordered by BODA.

Rule 9.04 Judgment

If, after hearing all the evidence, BODA determines that the petitioner is not eligible for reinstatement, BODA may, in its discretion, either enter an order denying the petition or direct that the petition be held in abeyance for a reasonable period of time until the petitioner provides additional proof as directed by BODA. The judgment may include other orders necessary to protect the public and the petitioner's potential clients.

SECTION 10: APPEALS FROM BODA TO THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

Rule 10.01 Appeals to the Supreme Court

- (a) A final decision by BODA, except a determination that a statement constitutes an inquiry or a complaint under TRDP 2.10, may be appealed to the Supreme Court of Texas. The clerk of the Supreme Court of Texas must docket an appeal from a decision by BODA in the same manner as a petition for review without fee.
- (b) The appealing party must file the notice of appeal directly with the clerk of the Supreme Court of Texas within 14 days of receiving notice of a final determination by BODA. The record must be filed within 60 days after BODA's determination. The appealing party's brief is due 30 days after the record is filed, and the responding party's brief is due 30 days thereafter. The BODA Clerk must send the parties a notice of BODA's final decision that includes the information in this paragraph.
- (c) An appeal to the Supreme Court is governed by TRDP 7.11 and the TRAP.



CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

STATE OF MISSOURI
POST OFFICE BOX 150
JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI
65102

BILL L. THOMPSON
CLERK

TELEPHONE
(573) 751-4144

February 9, 2016

STATE OF MISSOURI -- SCT.:

I, BILL L. THOMPSON, Clerk of the Supreme Court of Missouri, do hereby certify that the attached is a true and correct copy of the entire Case File , consisting of 51 pages, as fully as the same appears on file in my office in the proceeding styled: In re: Richard R. Fritz, Respondent. Supreme Court No. SC94083.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Supreme Court. Done at my office in the City of Jefferson, State aforesaid, this 9th day of February, 2016.

Bill L. Thompson

Clerk,

Dei S. Knaebel

Deputy Clerk, Court en Banc

Exhibit

1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

ANN THOMPSON
COURT EXECUTIVE

REPLY TO:
CHARLES EVANS WHITTAKER COURTHOUSE
400 E. NINTH STREET
KANSAS CITY, MO 64106

July 2, 2014

Mr. Alan Pratzel
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
3335 American Avenue
Jefferson City, MO 65109

SC 94083

Re: MoBar No. 53606
Order of Disbarment

Richard R. Fritz
4600 Madison Ave., Suite 1100
Kansas City, MO 64112

Dear Mr. Pratzel:

In accordance with Local Rule 83.6(b), Procedures of Disciplinary Enforcement of the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri, attached is an attested true copy of the Order of Disbarment. A certified copy of the Order of Disbarment has been mailed this date to Richard R. Fritz.

Sincerely,



Ann Thompson
Court Executive

RECEIVED

JUL X 7 2014

AT:cal
Attachment
cc w/ att.:

Scott S. Harris, Clerk, Supreme Court of the United States
Michael Gans, Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals
Clerk, Supreme Court of Missouri
Western District of Missouri Judges
U.S. Attorney Tammy Dickinson
Chief Probation/Pretrial Services Officer Kevin Lyon
Federal Public Defender WDMO
Clerk, District of Kansas
Operations Manager, WDMO, Tracy Diefenbach
Divisional Manager, WDMO, Central Division, Laura Bax
Divisional Manager, WDMO, Southern Division, Karyn Williams
Bankruptcy Operations Manager, Rob Lawson

OFFICE OF CLERK
MISSOURI SUPREME COURT

SCANNED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of
Richard R. Fritz,

Respondent.

)
)
)
)

No. 14-434-D




ORDER OF DISBARMENT

On March 25, 2014, the Supreme Court of Missouri entered an order disbarring Richard R. Fritz from the practice of law before that court, effective April 9, 2014. A certified copy of that order was presented to this Court.

On May 14, 2014, in compliance with Local Rule 83.6(b) of the Western District of Missouri, an order was directed to respondent requiring him to show cause why identical discipline should not be imposed by this Court. The copy of the order sent by certified mail was returned by the U.S. Postal Service signed with an unrecognizable signature. The copy of the order sent by regular mail has not been returned. Richard R. Fritz failed to respond to the show cause order.

Therefore, this Court will impose discipline identical to that imposed by the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri.




It is ORDERED that Richard R. Fritz is disbarred from the practice of law before this Court.



Greg Kays
Chief U. S. District Judge

Kansas City, Missouri

Dated: 7/2/14

**SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION**

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to

Mr. Richard R. Fritz
Apt. 1
8044 Pawnee
Prairie Village, KS 66208

2. Article Number

(Transfer from service label)

RE 537 967 935 US

PS Form 3811, February 2004

Domestic Return Receipt

107595-02-M-1540

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY**A. Signature**

X

☐ Agent

☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)**C. Date of Delivery**

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

☐ Certified Mail

☐ Express Mail

☒ Registered

☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise

☐ Insured Mail

☐ C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)


☐ Yes



RECEIVED


MAY X 2 2014

OFFICE OF CLERK
MISSOURI SUPREME COURT



SCANNED

OFFICE OF
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
P. O. Box 150
JEFFERSON CITY, Mo. 65102



UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
REGISTERED MAIL™



RE 537 967 935 US

Label 203, August 2005

PSN 9510-03033-2211

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT OF MISSOURI
POST OFFICE BOX 150
JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI
65102

Mr. Richard R. Fritz
Apt. 1
8044 Pawnee
Prairie Village, KS 66208

NOT
4/24
RECEIVED


MAY 02 2014

OFFICE OF CLERK
MISSOURI SUPREME COURT

RECEIVED

MAY X 2 2014

OFFICE OF CLERK
MISSOURI SUPREME COURT





CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

STATE OF MISSOURI

POST OFFICE BOX 150

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI

65102

March 25, 2014

BILL L. THOMPSON

CLERK

TELEPHONE

(573) 751-4144

Ms. Sharon K. Weedon via e-filing system
Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel
3335 American Avenue
Jefferson City, MO 65109

RECEIVED

MAY X 2 2014

OFFICE OF CLERK
MISSOURI SUPREME COURT

In Re: Richard R. Fritz, Respondent.
Missouri Supreme Court No. SC94083

Dear Ms. Weedon:

This acknowledges receipt of an information with notice in the above-entitled cause, with service. Copies of the information mailed to Respondent via regular and registered mail on this date.

Please note that docket number SC94083 has been assigned. Please appear on all correspondence and documents relating to this proceeding.

To follow the progress of your case on the Internet, use the Judiciary Homepage at <http://www.courts.mo.gov>. Other useful information is available on the Missouri Supreme Court link on the same homepage.

Certified copies of the order of disbarment issued on this date are available to counsel for Informant via secure case.net. Certified copies of order of disbarment sent to Respondent via regular and registered mail at two addresses on this date.

Very truly yours,

Bill L. Thompson

BILL L. THOMPSON

cc:

Mr. Charles W. Gotschall
Mr. Richard R. Fritz
Suite 1100

4600 Madison Avenue
Kansas City, MO 64112

✓ Mr. Richard R. Fritz
Apt. 1

8044 Pawnee
Prairie Village, KS 66208

via e-filing system

via regular and registered mail #RE 537 967 949 US

✓
via regular and registered mail #RE 537 967 935 US

*Pls scan
attach
file in
closed file*

this date

on

should

ing the



Supreme Court of Missouri
en banc

March 25, 2014

In re: Richard R. Fritz,

Respondent.

)
)
) Supreme Court No. SC94083
) MBE # 53606

ORDER

The Chief Disciplinary Counsel having filed an information advising this Court of its findings, after investigation, that there is probable cause to believe Respondent, Richard R. Fritz, is guilty of professional misconduct and having filed with said information, pursuant to Rule 5.13, a notice of default, notifying the Court that Respondent, Richard R. Fritz, failed to timely file an answer or other response within the time required although Respondent was served pursuant to the provisions of Rule 5.18 and Rule 5.11 and, therefore, pursuant to Rule 5.13, Respondent is in default; and

It appearing Respondent is guilty of professional misconduct and should be disciplined;

Now, therefore, it is ordered by the Court that the said Richard R. Fritz be, and he is hereby disbarred, that his right and license to practice law in the State of Missouri is canceled and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys in this State.

It is further ordered that the said Richard R. Fritz comply in all respects with 5.27 - Notification of Clients and Counsel.

Costs taxed to Respondent.

Day - to - Day

Mary R. Russell

Mary R. Russell
Chief Justice

RECEIVED


MAY X 2 2014

OFFICE OF CLERK
MISSOURI SUPREME COURT

STATE OF MISSOURI – SCT.:

I, BILL L. THOMPSON, Clerk of the Supreme Court of Missouri, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the order of said court, entered on the 25th day of March, 2014, as fully as the same appears of record in my office.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, *I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Supreme Court. Done at office in the City of Jefferson, State aforesaid, this 25th day of March, 2014.*



Bill L. Thompson, Clerk

Christopher Z. Tucker, Deputy Clerk

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF MISSOURI
EN BANC

IN RE:

RICHARD R. FRITZ,
4600 Madison Avenue, Suite 1100
Kansas City, MO 64112

8044 Pawnee, Apt 1
Prairie Village, KS 66208

Missouri Bar No. 53606

Respondent.

Supreme Court No. _____

RECEIVED

MAY X 2 2014

OFFICE OF CLERK
MISSOURI SUPREME COURT

INFORMATION WITH NOTICE OF DEFAULT

COMES NOW the chief disciplinary counsel and informs the Court, pursuant to Rule 5.13, that Respondent Fritz is in default in that he failed to file an Answer to an Information charging him with professional misconduct. The facts supporting this Information are:

1. Respondent Fritz was licensed to practice law in Missouri in March of 2002. He was assigned Missouri bar number 53606. The address he most recently furnished the bar and Court is 4600 Madison Avenue, Suite 1100, Kansas City, Missouri 64112. His residence address is 8044 Pawnee, Apt. 1, Prairie Village, Kansas 66208.

2. He last paid the annual enrollment fee through January 31, 2011. Respondent Fritz has also not met the requirements of Rule 15 (CLE) and was so reported to have been out of compliance in March of 2012.

3. Respondent has not maintained an office at the address he last furnished the bar and Court since 2011. The mail sent to the Madison Avenue address is forwarded by the United States Postal Service to his residence in Prairie Village, Kansas.

4. On December 18, 2013, an Information charging Respondent with professional misconduct was sent by certified mail to Respondent's Prairie Village, Kansas address. Respondent did not sign the certified mail card acknowledging receipt, but the Information and all documents accompanying the Information were delivered to Respondent's address on December 27, 2013, according to the United States Postal Service Product and Tracking Information website. The affidavit of Charles Gotschall, Special Representative of Division II Region IV, attesting to the foregoing facts is attached as Ex. A.

5. Respondent's Answer to the Information was due to be filed within thirty days after service, or by January 27, 2014. No Answer has been filed.

6. The Information describing the misconduct with which Respondent has been charged and stands in default is attached as Ex. B.

7. In a letter dated February 13, 2014, sent to disciplinary authorities and Respondent Fritz, the Advisory Committee noted Respondent's failure to file an Answer in that the matter was ready for filing of this Information in accordance with Rule 5.13. A copy of that letter is attached as Ex. C.

WHEREFORE the chief disciplinary counsel prays that Respondent's failure to timely file an Answer or other response to the Information be deemed consent by

Respondent for this Court to enter an order disbarring Respondent by default without further hearing or proceeding and to tax the costs of this matter against Respondent.

Respectfully submitted,

ALAN D. PRATZEL #29141
Chief Disciplinary Counsel



By: _____

Sharon K. Weedon #30526
Staff Counsel
3335 American Avenue
Jefferson City, MO 65109
(573) 635-7400 – Phone
(573) 635-2240 – Fax
Sharon.Weedon@courts.mo.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR INFORMANT


CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been sent via first class mail, postage prepaid, on this 25th day of March, 2014 to:

Richard R. Fritz
4600 Madison Avenue, Suite 1100
Kansas City, MO 64112

and

Richard R. Fritz
8044 Pawnee, Apt. 1
Prairie Village, KS 66208



Sharon K. Weedin


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

IN RE:)
)
RICHARD R. FRITZ) File No.: DHP-13-034
MO Bar No. 53606) OCDC File No.: 13-162-IV
8044 Pawnee, Apt. 1)
Prairie Village KS 66208)
)
Respondent)

AFFIDAVIT

COMES NOW, Charles W. Gotschall, and being duly sworn upon his oath, states
that the following is true and accurate to the best of his knowledge and belief:

1. I am the Special Representative for Division 3 of the Region IV Disciplinary Committee.
2. Pursuant to a duly authorized vote of Division 3, Affiant mailed Richard R. Fritz, 8044 Pawnee, Apartment 1, Prairie Village, Kansas 66208, on December 18, 2013, by certified mail, restricted delivery, return receipt requested, postage prepaid, a copy of the following documents: Information; Memorandum for Advisory Committee Chair; Notice Pursuant to Rules 5.11, 5.13 and 5.14; a list of the names of all disciplinary hearing officers and advisory committee members; and copies of all statements or documents obtained in the investigation of these matters. Said address is the last known residence address for Respondent. The address designated in his most recent registration with The Missouri Bar is 4600 Madison Avenue, Suite 1100, Kansas City, Missouri 64112 but Respondent has not maintained an office at that location since 2011 and his mail has been forwarded to the residence address in Prairie Village, Kansas.
3. Although Affiant did not receive the certified mail return receipt signed by Respondent, delivery of the Information and all accompanying documents were delivered




on December 27, 2013 per the U.S. Postal Service Product and Tracking Information website. A copy of the USPS tracking information is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein.

4. In addition to being CLE delinquent Respondent has been delinquent in the payment of his enrollment fees since January 31, 2011.

5. Although more than thirty (30) days has elapsed since the Notice and Information was mailed to Respondent, Respondent has failed to respond.

Further Affiant sayeth not.



Charles W. Gotschall MO Bar #27744
Division III, Special Representative
4700 Belleview, Suite 215
Kansas City, Missouri 64112
(816) 561-2300
(816) 561-0760 fax
ATTORNEY FOR INFORMANT

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, this 19th day of March, 2014.


My Commission Expires: 9/2/2014


Notary Public

DEANNA NOCE
My Commission Expires
September 2, 2014
Jackson County
Commission #10013381

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this Affidavit was mailed by certified mail, postage prepaid on this 19th day of March, 2014 to: Richard R. Fritz, 8044 Pawnee, Apartment 1, Prairie Village, Kansas 66208 said address being the last known residence address for Respondent.



Charles W. Gotschall

Exhibit A

USPS.COM

Search USPS.com or Track Packages

Quick Tools

Track
Enter up to 10 Tracking # Find
Find USPS Locations
Buy Stamp
Schedule a Pickup
Certified Mail
Look Up Code
Hold Mail
Change of Address

USPS Tracking™



Customer Service
Have questions? We're here to help.

Tracking Number: 7008183000049385435

DELIVERED

Product & Tracking Information

Available Actions

Postal Product:

Features:
Certified Mail™

| Date | Event | Location |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| December 27, 2013, 10:48 am | Delivered | PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KS 66208 |
| December 19, 2013, 2:50 pm | Notice Left | PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KS 66208 |
| December 19, 2013 | Depart USPS Sort Facility | KANSAS CITY, MO 64121 |
| December 19, 2013, 3:59 am | Processed through USPS Sort Facility | KANSAS CITY, MO 64121 |
| December 18, 2013, 10:45 pm | Processed through USPS Sort Facility | KANSAS CITY, MO 64121 |

Track Another Package

What's your tracking (or receipt) number?

Track It

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com

OFFICIAL USE

| | |
|---|----------|
| Postage | \$ 1.32 |
| Certified Fee | 3.10 |
| Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required) | 2.55 |
| Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required) | 4.75 |
| Total Postage & Fees | \$ 11.22 |

Postmark
Here

Sent To: **Richard R. Fritz**
Street, Apt. No.,
or PO Box No. **8044 Pawnee Apt. 1**
City, State, ZIP+4 **Prairie Village KS 66208**


PS Form 3800 August 2005

See Reverse for Instructions

ON ABOUT USPS.COM
About USPS Home
Newsroom
USPS Service Alerts
Forms & Publications
Careers

OTHER USPS SITES
Business Customer Gateway
Postal Inspector's
Inspector's Center
Postal Explorer

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI



IN RE:

RICHARD R. FRITZ
MO Bar No. 53606
8044 Pawnee, Apt. 1
Prairie Village KS 66208

Respondent

DHP-14-005
File No.: ~~DHP 13-034~~
OCDC File No.: 13-162-IV

INFORMATION

Comes now Division III of the Region IV Disciplinary Committee and charges:

1. ~~Informant is Division III of the Region IV Disciplinary Committee~~
appointed by this Court pursuant to Rule 5.02.

2. Informant has determined, pursuant to Rule 5.11, that probable cause exists
to believe that Respondent is guilty of professional misconduct.

3. Respondent was licensed as an attorney in Missouri on March 6, 2002. His
bar number is 53606. His date of birth is January 12, 1967.

4. Respondent's license is currently stricken for not keeping his CLE current.

5. The address designated in his most recent registration with The Missouri
Bar is 4600 Madison Avenue, Suite 1100, Kansas City, Missouri 64112 but on
information and belief he no longer maintains an office at that location.

6. On or about February 16, 2012, Respondent met with Ms. Willie Mae
Jackson (hereinafter "Jackson") at the Omni Hotel in Dallas, Texas to discuss a real
estate matter involving land and oil rights in her family. Respondent took with him



approximately 175 sheets of Jackson's documents and promised to return them to her in two weeks.

7. Respondent never contacted Jackson to return the documents. Jackson made numerous attempts to contact Respondent by sending text messages, emails and leaving voice messages. Respondent never returned Jackson's documents or contacted her regarding the same.

8. Jackson filed her complaint with the OCDC on January 28, 2013. The OCDC wrote to Respondent on March 21, 2013, April 2, 2013 and again on April 23, 2013 asking for a response to the complaint on or before April 30, 2013. Respondent never responded to the OCDC.

9. Respondent is guilty of professional misconduct under Rule 4-8.4(a) as a result of violating the following:

- a. Supreme Court Rule 4-1.3, by failing to act with reasonable diligence and promptness in handling Jackson's documents in connection with her family's land and oil matter;
- b. Supreme Court Rule 4-1.4, by failing to keep Jackson reasonably informed about the status of her matter and by failing to promptly comply with her request for information about her case;
- c. Supreme Court Rule 4-1.15(e), by failing to maintain Jackson's property separate from his own property failing to return the same and failing to appropriately safeguard her documents;
- d. Supreme Court Rule 4-1.16, by failing to surrender personal property to Jackson upon termination of his representation; and
- e. Supreme Court Rule 4-8.1(c), by failing to respond to a lawful demand for information from the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel.

10. Respondent is guilty of professional misconduct under Rule 4-8.4(d) for the conduct above that is prejudicial to administration of justice.


WHEREFORE, Informant prays that a decision be issued finding Respondent guilty of professional misconduct as alleged in this Information and that Respondent be disciplined in accordance with Rule 5 and that costs be assessed against Respondent.

Designation of Counsel for Informant

The Chief Disciplinary Counsel has designated the following as counsel of record for Informant:

Charles W. Gotschall
4700 Belleview, Suite 215
Kansas City, MO 64112

Respectfully submitted,



Jill A. Kanatzar, Chair
Division III Disciplinary Committee

Advisory Committee of the Supreme Court of Missouri

217 East McCarty Street
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101-3112

RECEIVED
FEB 13 2014

Overseeing Ethical Conduct
of Lawyers in the State of Missouri

OCDC

Richard E. Banks
St. Louis

Alvin L. Brooks
Kansas City

Doreen D. Bodson, Esq.
St. Louis

Sidney A. Duffie, CPA
Jefferson City

John C. Halstein, Esq.
Springfield

David P. Macomber, Esq.
Chillicothe

Susan Ford Robertson
Kansas City

Richard N. Priest
St. Louis

Dorothy White-Coleman, Esq.
St. Louis

Susan Appelquist, Esq.
Springfield

John Briscoe
New London

Jennifer Gille Bacon, Chair
Kansas City

February 13, 2014

Charles W. Gotschall
4700 Belleview, Suite 215
Kansas City, MO 64112

Richard R. Fritz
8044 Pawnee, Apt. 1
Prairie Village, KS 66208

Re: Richard R. Fritz; DHP-14-005

Dear Mr. Gotschall and Mr. Fritz:

The time for Respondent to file an Answer has elapsed. The Advisory Committee has not received an Answer or communication in lieu of an Answer. It appears that Respondent is in default.

In light of the default, the Chair of the Advisory Committee will not appoint a hearing panel. This matter is ready for further action pursuant to Rule 5.13

Sincerely,




Melinda J. Bentley
Legal Ethics Counsel

MJB/ss

cc: Richard R. Fritz
Alan Pratzel



OFFICE OF
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
P. O. Box 160
JEFFERSON CITY, Mo. 65102



RECEIVED

MAR 31 2014

OFFICE OF CLERK
MISSOURI SUPREME COURT

MISSOURI

REGISTERED MAIL™



RE 537 967 949 US

Label 20C, August 2009

PM 7673 01023 9311

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT OF MISSOURI
POST OFFICE BOX 160
JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI
65102


RECEIVED

MAR 31 2014

OFFICE OF CLERK
MISSOURI SUPREME COURT

Mr. Richard R. Fritz
Suite 1100
4600 Madison Avenue
Kansas City, MO 64112


NO LOWER
AT THIS
BUSINESS



SCANNED



| SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION | | COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY | |
|--|--|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. | | <p>A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p> <p>B. Received by (Printed Name) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p> <p>C. Date of Delivery</p> <p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If YES, enter delivery address below:</p> | |
| 1. Article Addressed to: Mr. Richard R. Fritz Suite 1100 4600 Madison Avenue Kansas City, MO 64112 | | 3. Service Type <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D. | |
| 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) | | 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| PS Form 3811, February 2004 | | Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-00-1040 | |



MISSOURI SUPREME COURT
OFFICE OF CLERK

MAR 3 1 2014

RECEIVED





CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MISSOURI
POST OFFICE BOX 150
JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI

BILL L. THOMPSON
CLERK

65102
March 25, 2014

TELEPHONE
(573) 751-4144

Ms. Sharon K. Weedon via e-filing system
Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel
3335 American Avenue
Jefferson City, MO 65109

RECEIVED

MAR 31-2014

OFFICE OF CLERK
MISSOURI SUPREME COURT

In Re: Richard R. Fritz, Respondent.
Missouri Supreme Court No. SC94083

Dear Ms. Weedon:

This acknowledges receipt of an information with notice of default which has been filed this date in the above-entitled cause, with service. Copies of the information with notice of default have been mailed to Respondent via regular and registered mail on this date at two addresses shown below.

Please note that docket number **SC94083** has been assigned this case. This same number should appear on all correspondence and documents relating to this proceeding.

To follow the progress of your case on the Internet, use the Case.Net link on the Missouri Judiciary Homepage at <http://www.courts.mo.gov>. Other useful information may be found by using the Supreme Court link on the same homepage.

Certified copies of the order of disbarment issued on this date are available to counsel for Informant via secure case.net. Certified copies of order of disbarment sent to Respondent via regular and registered mail at two addresses on this date.

Very truly yours,

Bill L. Thompson

BILL L. THOMPSON

cc:

✓ Mr. Charles W. Gotschall

Mr. Richard R. Fritz

✓ Suite 1100

4600 Madison Avenue

Kansas City, MO 64112

Mr. Richard R. Fritz

Apt. 1

8044 Pawnee

Prairie Village, KS 66208

via e-filing system

via regular and registered mail #RE 537 967 949 US

via regular and registered mail #RE 537 967 935 US



Supreme Court of Missouri
en banc

RECEIVED

MAR 31 2014

March 25, 2014

OFFICE OF CLERK
MISSOURI SUPREME COURT

In re: Richard R. Fritz,

Respondent.

)
)
) Supreme Court No. SC94083
) MBE # 53606

ORDER

The Chief Disciplinary Counsel having filed an information advising this Court of its findings, after investigation, that there is probable cause to believe Respondent, Richard R. Fritz, is guilty of professional misconduct and having filed with said information, pursuant to Rule 5.13, a notice of default, notifying the Court that Respondent, Richard R. Fritz, failed to timely file an answer or other response within the time required although Respondent was served pursuant to the provisions of Rule 5.18 and Rule 5.11 and, therefore, pursuant to Rule 5.13, Respondent is in default; and

It appearing Respondent is guilty of professional misconduct and should be disciplined;

Now, therefore, it is ordered by the Court that the said Richard R. Fritz be, and he is hereby disbarred, that his right and license to practice law in the State of Missouri is canceled and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys in this State.

It is further ordered that the said Richard R. Fritz comply in all respects with 5.27 - Notification of Clients and Counsel.

Costs taxed to Respondent.

Day - to - Day


Mary R. Russell

Mary R. Russell
Chief Justice

STATE OF MISSOURI – SCT.:

I, BILL L. THOMPSON, Clerk of the Supreme Court of Missouri, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the order of said court, entered on the 25th day of March, 2014, as fully as the same appears of record in my office.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, *I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Supreme Court. Done at office in the City of Jefferson, State aforesaid, this 25th day of March, 2014.*



Bill L. Thompson, Clerk

Cynthia Z. Tucker, Deputy Clerk

SC94083

RECEIVED

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF MISSOURI
EN BANC

MAR 31-2014

OFFICE OF CLERK
MISSOURI SUPREME COURT

IN RE:

RICHARD R. FRITZ,
4600 Madison Avenue, Suite 1100
Kansas City, MO 64112

8044 Pawnee, Apt 1
Prairie Village, KS 66208

Missouri Bar No. 53606

Respondent.

Supreme Court No. _____

INFORMATION WITH NOTICE OF DEFAULT

COMES NOW the chief disciplinary counsel and informs the Court, pursuant to Rule 5.13, that Respondent Fritz is in default in that he failed to file an Answer to an Information charging him with professional misconduct. The facts supporting this Information are:

1. Respondent Fritz was licensed to practice law in Missouri in March of 2002. He was assigned Missouri bar number 53606. The address he most recently furnished the bar and Court is 4600 Madison Avenue, Suite 1100, Kansas City, Missouri 64112. His residence address is 8044 Pawnee, Apt. 1, Prairie Village, Kansas 66208.

2. He last paid the annual enrollment fee through January 31, 2011. Respondent Fritz has also not met the requirements of Rule 15 (CLE) and was so reported to have been out of compliance in March of 2012.

3. Respondent has not maintained an office at the address he last furnished the bar and Court since 2011. The mail sent to the Madison Avenue address is forwarded by the United States Postal Service to his residence in Prairie Village, Kansas.

4. On December 18, 2013, an Information charging Respondent with professional misconduct was sent by certified mail to Respondent's Prairie Village, Kansas address. Respondent did not sign the certified mail card acknowledging receipt, but the Information and all documents accompanying the Information were delivered to Respondent's address on December 27, 2013, according to the United States Postal Service Product and Tracking Information website. The affidavit of Charles Gotschall, Special Representative of Division II Region IV, attesting to the foregoing facts is attached as Ex. A.

5. Respondent's Answer to the Information was due to be filed within thirty days after service, or by January 27, 2014. No Answer has been filed.

6. The Information describing the misconduct with which Respondent has been charged and stands in default is attached as Ex. B.

7. In a letter dated February 13, 2014, sent to disciplinary authorities and Respondent Fritz, the Advisory Committee noted Respondent's failure to file an Answer in that the matter was ready for filing of this Information in accordance with Rule 5.13. A copy of that letter is attached as Ex. C.

WHEREFORE the chief disciplinary counsel prays that Respondent's failure to timely file an Answer or other response to the Information be deemed consent by

Respondent for this Court to enter an order disbarring Respondent by default without further hearing or proceeding and to tax the costs of this matter against Respondent.

Respectfully submitted,

ALAN D. PRATZEL #29141
Chief Disciplinary Counsel



By: _____

Sharon K. Weedon #30526
Staff Counsel
3335 American Avenue
Jefferson City, MO 65109
(573) 635-7400 – Phone
(573) 635-2240 – Fax
Sharon.Weedon@courts.mo.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR INFORMANT


CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been sent via first class mail, postage prepaid, on this 25th day of March, 2014 to:

Richard R. Fritz
4600 Madison Avenue, Suite 1100
Kansas City, MO 64112

and

Richard R. Fritz
8044 Pawnee, Apt. 1
Prairie Village, KS 66208



Sharon K. Weedin

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

IN RE:

RICHARD R. FRITZ
MO Bar No. 53606
8044 Pawnee, Apt. 1
Prairie Village KS 66208

Respondent

File No.: DHP-13-034
OCDL File No.: 13-162-IV

AFFIDAVIT

COMES NOW, Charles W. Gotschall, and being duly sworn upon his oath, states
that the following is true and accurate to the best of his knowledge and belief:

1. I am the Special Representative for Division 3 of the Region IV
Disciplinary Committee.

2. Pursuant to a duly authorized vote of Division 3, Affiant mailed Richard
R. Fritz, 8044 Pawnee, Apartment 1, Prairie Village, Kansas 66208, on December 18,
2013, by certified mail, restricted delivery, return receipt requested, postage prepaid, a
copy of the following documents: Information; Memorandum for Advisory Committee
Chair; Notice Pursuant to Rules 5.11, 5.13 and 5.14; a list of the names of all disciplinary
hearing officers and advisory committee members; and copies of all statements or
documents obtained in the investigation of these matters. Said address is the last known
residence address for Respondent. The address designated in his most recent registration
with The Missouri Bar is 4600 Madison Avenue, Suite 1100, Kansas City, Missouri
64112 but Respondent has not maintained an office at that location since 2011 and his
mail has been forwarded to the residence address in Prairie Village, Kansas.

3. Although Affiant did not receive the certified mail return receipt signed by
Respondent, delivery of the Information and all accompanying documents were delivered




on December 27, 2013 per the U.S. Postal Service Product and Tracking Information website. A copy of the USPS tracking information is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein.

4. In addition to being CLE delinquent Respondent has been delinquent in the payment of his enrollment fees since January 31, 2011.

5. Although more than thirty (30) days has elapsed since the Notice and Information was mailed to Respondent, Respondent has failed to respond.


Further Affiant sayeth not.



Charles W. Gotschall MO Bar #27744
Division III, Special Representative
4700 Belleview, Suite 215
Kansas City, Missouri 64112
(816) 561-2300
(816) 561-0760 fax
ATTORNEY FOR INFORMANT

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, this 19th day of March, 2014.


My Commission Expires: 9/2/2014


Notary Public

DEANNA NOCE
My Commission Expires
September 2, 2014
Jackson County
Commission #10013381

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this Affidavit was mailed by certified mail, postage prepaid on this 19th day of March, 2014 to: Richard R. Fritz, 8044 Pawnee, Apartment 1, Prairie Village, Kansas 66208 said address being the last known residence address for Respondent.



Charles W. Gotschall

Exhibit A

USPS.COM

Search USPS.com or Track Packages

Quick Tools
Track
Enter up to 10 Tracking #Find
Find USPS Locations
Buy Stamp
Schedule a Pickup
Calculate Postage
Look Up ZIP Code
Hold Mail
Change of Address

USPS Tracking™



Customer Service
Have questions? We're here to help.

Tracking Number: 70081830000049385435

DELIVERED

Product & Tracking Information

Available Actions

Postal Product:

Features:
Certified Mail™

| Date | Event | Location |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| December 27, 2013, 10:41 am | Delivered | PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KS 66208 |
| December 19, 2013, 2:50 pm | Notice Left | PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KS 66208 |
| December 19, 2013 | Depart USPS Sort Facility | KANSAS CITY, MO 64121 |
| December 19, 2013, 3:59 am | Processed through USPS Sort Facility | KANSAS CITY, MO 64121 |
| December 18, 2013, 10:45 pm | Processed through USPS Sort Facility | KANSAS CITY, MO 64121 |

Track Another Package

What's your tracking (or receipt) number?

Track It

U.S. Postal Service
CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com

OFFICIAL USE

| | |
|--|----------|
| Postage | \$ 1.32 |
| Certified Fee | 3.10 |
| Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required) | 2.55 |
| Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required) | 4.75 |
| Total Postage & Fees | \$ 11.72 |

Postmark
Here

Sent To: **Richard R. Fritz**
Street, Apt. No., or PO Box No.: **8044 Pawnee Apt. 1**
City, State, ZIP+4: **Prairie Village KS 66208**

PS Form 3800, August 2005


See Reverse for Instructions

ON ABOUT USPS.COM
About USPS Home
Newsroom
USPS Service Alerts
Forms & Publications
Contact Us

OTHER USPS SITES
Business Customer Gateway
Postal Inspector's
Inspector General
Postal Explorer

5645 REEF 0000 DEPT 8007

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI



IN RE:

RICHARD R. FRITZ
MO Bar No. 53606
8044 Pawnee, Apt. 1
Prairie Village KS 66208

Respondent

DHP-14-005
File No.: ~~DHP-13-034~~
OCDC File No.: 13-162-IV

INFORMATION

Comes now Division III of the Region IV Disciplinary Committee and charges:

1. ~~Informant is Division III of the Region IV Disciplinary Committee~~
appointed by this Court pursuant to Rule 5.02.
2. Informant has determined, pursuant to Rule 5.11, that probable cause exists to believe that Respondent is guilty of professional misconduct.
3. Respondent was licensed as an attorney in Missouri on March 6, 2002. His bar number is 53606. His date of birth is January 12, 1967.
4. Respondent's license is currently stricken for not keeping his CLE current.
5. The address designated in his most recent registration with The Missouri Bar is 4600 Madison Avenue, Suite 1100, Kansas City, Missouri 64112 but on information and belief he no longer maintains an office at that location.
6. On or about February 16, 2012, Respondent met with Ms. Willie Mae Jackson (hereinafter "Jackson") at the Omni Hotel in Dallas, Texas to discuss a real estate matter involving land and oil rights in her family. Respondent took with him



approximately 175 sheets of Jackson's documents and promised to return them to her in two weeks.

7. Respondent never contacted Jackson to return the documents. Jackson made numerous attempts to contact Respondent by sending text messages, emails and leaving voice messages. Respondent never returned Jackson's documents or contacted her regarding the same.

8. Jackson filed her complaint with the OCDC on January 28, 2013. The OCDC wrote to Respondent on March 21, 2013, April 2, 2013 and again on April 23, 2013 asking for a response to the complaint on or before April 30, 2013. Respondent never responded to the OCDC.

9. Respondent is guilty of professional misconduct under Rule 4-8.4(a) as a result of violating the following:

- a. Supreme Court Rule 4-1.3, by failing to act with reasonable diligence and promptness in handling Jackson's documents in connection with her family's land and oil matter;
- b. Supreme Court Rule 4-1.4, by failing to keep Jackson reasonably informed about the status of her matter and by failing to promptly comply with her request for information about her case;
- c. Supreme Court Rule 4-1.15(e), by failing to maintain Jackson's property separate from his own property failing to return the same and failing to appropriately safeguard her documents;
- d. Supreme Court Rule 4-1.16, by failing to surrender personal property to Jackson upon termination of his representation; and
- e. Supreme Court Rule 4-8.1(c), by failing to respond to a lawful demand for information from the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel.

10. Respondent is guilty of professional misconduct under Rule 4-8.4(d) for the conduct above that is prejudicial to administration of justice.


WHEREFORE, Informant prays that a decision be issued finding Respondent guilty of professional misconduct as alleged in this Information and that Respondent be disciplined in accordance with Rule 5 and that costs be assessed against Respondent.

Designation of Counsel for Informant

The Chief Disciplinary Counsel has designated the following as counsel of record for Informant:

Charles W. Gotschall
4700 Belleview, Suite 215
Kansas City, MO 64112

Respectfully submitted,


Jill A. Kanatzar, Chair
Division III Disciplinary Committee

Advisory Committee of the Supreme Court of Missouri

217 East McCarty Street
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101-3112

RECEIVED
FEB 13 2014

Overseeing Ethical Conduct
of Lawyers in the State of Missouri

OCDC

Richard E. Banks
St. Louis

Alvie L. Brooks
Kansas City

Doreen D. Dodson, Esq.
St. Louis

Sidney A. Duff, CPA
Jefferson City

John C. Helstein, Esq.
Springfield

David P. Macoubrie, Esq.
Chillicothe

Susan Ford Robertson
Kansas City

Richard N. Priest
St. Louis

Dorothy White-Coleman, Esq.
St. Louis

Susan Appelquist, Esq.
Springfield

John Britton
New London

Jennifer Gille Bacon, Chair
Kansas City

February 13, 2014

Charles W. Gotschall
4700 Belleview, Suite 215
Kansas City, MO 64112

Richard R. Fritz
8044 Pawnee, Apt. 1
Prairie Village, KS 66208

Re: Richard R. Fritz; DHP-14-005

Dear Mr. Gotschall and Mr. Fritz:

The time for Respondent to file an Answer has elapsed. The Advisory Committee has not received an Answer or communication in lieu of an Answer. It appears that Respondent is in default.

In light of the default, the Chair of the Advisory Committee will not appoint a hearing panel. This matter is ready for further action pursuant to Rule 5.13

Sincerely,



Melinda J. Bentley
Legal Ethics Counsel

MJB/ss

cc: Richard R. Fritz
Alan Pratzel





CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MISSOURI
POST OFFICE BOX 150
JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI

BILL L. THOMPSON
CLERK

65102
March 25, 2014

TELEPHONE
(573) 751-4144

Ms. Sharon K. Weedin via e-filing system
Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel
3335 American Avenue
Jefferson City, MO 65109

In Re: Richard R. Fritz, Respondent.
Missouri Supreme Court No. SC94083

Dear Ms. Weedin:

This acknowledges receipt of an information with notice of default which has been filed this date in the above-entitled cause, with service. Copies of the information with notice of default have been mailed to Respondent via regular and registered mail on this date at two addresses shown below.

Please note that docket number **SC94083** has been assigned this case. This same number should appear on all correspondence and documents relating to this proceeding.

To follow the progress of your case on the Internet, use the Case.Net link on the Missouri Judiciary Homepage at <http://www.courts.mo.gov>. Other useful information may be found by using the Supreme Court link on the same homepage.

Certified copies of the order of disbarment issued on this date are available to counsel for Informant via secure case.net. Certified copies of order of disbarment sent to Respondent via regular and registered mail at two addresses on this date.

Very truly yours,

BILL L. THOMPSON

cc:

Mr. Charles W. Gotschall
Mr. Richard R. Fritz
Suite 1100
4600 Madison Avenue
Kansas City, MO 64112
Mr. Richard R. Fritz
Apt. 1
8044 Pawnee
Prairie Village, KS 66208

via e-filing system
via regular and registered mail #RE 537 967 949 US

via regular and registered mail #RE 537 967 935 US



Supreme Court of Missouri
en banc

March 25, 2014

In re: Richard R. Fritz,

Respondent.

)

)

) Supreme Court No. SC94083

) MBE # 53606

ORDER

The Chief Disciplinary Counsel having filed an information advising this Court of its findings, after investigation, that there is probable cause to believe Respondent, Richard R. Fritz, is guilty of professional misconduct and having filed with said information, pursuant to Rule 5.13, a notice of default, notifying the Court that Respondent, Richard R. Fritz, failed to timely file an answer or other response within the time required although Respondent was served pursuant to the provisions of Rule 5.18 and Rule 5.11 and, therefore, pursuant to Rule 5.13, Respondent is in default; and

It appearing Respondent is guilty of professional misconduct and should be disciplined;

Now, therefore, it is ordered by the Court that the said Richard R. Fritz be, and he is hereby disbarred, that his right and license to practice law in the State of Missouri is canceled and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys in this State.

It is further ordered that the said Richard R. Fritz comply in all respects with 5.27 - Notification of Clients and Counsel.

Costs taxed to Respondent.

Day - to - Day


Mary R. Russell

Mary R. Russell
Chief Justice

STATE OF MISSOURI – SCT.:

I, BILL L. THOMPSON, Clerk of the Supreme Court of Missouri, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the order of said court, entered on the 25th day of March, 2014, as fully as the same appears of record in my office.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, *I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Supreme Court. Done at office in the City of Jefferson, State aforesaid, this 25th day of March, 2014.*



Bill L. Thompson, Clerk

Cynthia Z. Tucker, Deputy Clerk

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF MISSOURI
EN BANC**

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| IN RE: |) | |
| |) | |
| RICHARD R. FRITZ, |) | Supreme Court No. _____ |
| 4600 Madison Avenue, Suite 1100 |) | |
| Kansas City, MO 64112 |) | |
| |) | |
| 8044 Pawnee, Apt 1 |) | |
| Prairie Village, KS 66208 |) | |
| |) | |
| Missouri Bar No. 53606 |) | |
| |) | |
| Respondent. |) | |

INFORMATION WITH NOTICE OF DEFAULT

COMES NOW the chief disciplinary counsel and informs the Court, pursuant to Rule 5.13, that Respondent Fritz is in default in that he failed to file an Answer to an Information charging him with professional misconduct. The facts supporting this Information are:

1. Respondent Fritz was licensed to practice law in Missouri in March of 2002. He was assigned Missouri bar number 53606. The address he most recently furnished the bar and Court is 4600 Madison Avenue, Suite 1100, Kansas City, Missouri 64112. His residence address is 8044 Pawnee, Apt. 1, Prairie Village, Kansas 66208.

2. He last paid the annual enrollment fee through January 31, 2011. Respondent Fritz has also not met the requirements of Rule 15 (CLE) and was so reported to have been out of compliance in March of 2012.

3. Respondent has not maintained an office at the address he last furnished the bar and Court since 2011. The mail sent to the Madison Avenue address is forwarded by the United States Postal Service to his residence in Prairie Village, Kansas.

4. On December 18, 2013, an Information charging Respondent with professional misconduct was sent by certified mail to Respondent's Prairie Village, Kansas address. Respondent did not sign the certified mail card acknowledging receipt, but the Information and all documents accompanying the Information were delivered to Respondent's address on December 27, 2013, according to the United States Postal Service Product and Tracking Information website. The affidavit of Charles Gotschall, Special Representative of Division II Region IV, attesting to the foregoing facts is attached as Ex. A.

5. Respondent's Answer to the Information was due to be filed within thirty days after service, or by January 27, 2014. No Answer has been filed.

6. The Information describing the misconduct with which Respondent has been charged and stands in default is attached as Ex. B.


7. In a letter dated February 13, 2014, sent to disciplinary authorities and Respondent Fritz, the Advisory Committee noted Respondent's failure to file an Answer in that the matter was ready for filing of this Information in accordance with Rule 5.13. A copy of that letter is attached as Ex. C.

WHEREFORE the chief disciplinary counsel prays that Respondent's failure to timely file an Answer or other response to the Information be deemed consent by

Respondent for this Court to enter an order disbarring Respondent by default without further hearing or proceeding and to tax the costs of this matter against Respondent.

Respectfully submitted,

ALAN D. PRATZEL #29141
Chief Disciplinary Counsel



By: _____
Sharon K. Weedin #30526
Staff Counsel
3335 American Avenue
Jefferson City, MO 65109
(573) 635-7400 – Phone
(573) 635-2240 – Fax
Sharon.Weedin@courts.mo.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR INFORMANT


CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been sent via first class mail, postage prepaid, on this 25th day of March, 2014 to:

Richard R. Fritz
4600 Madison Avenue, Suite 1100
Kansas City, MO 64112

and

Richard R. Fritz
8044 Pawnee, Apt. 1
Prairie Village, KS 66208



Sharon K. Weedin

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

IN RE:

RICHARD R. FRITZ
MO Bar No. 53606
8044 Pawnee, Apt. 1
Prairie Village KS 66208

Respondent

File No.: DHP-13-034
OCDL File No.: 13-162-IV


AFFIDAVIT

COMES NOW, Charles W. Gotschall, and being duly sworn upon his oath, states
that the following is true and accurate to the best of his knowledge and belief:

1. I am the Special Representative for Division 3 of the Region IV
Disciplinary Committee.

2. Pursuant to a duly authorized vote of Division 3, Affiant mailed Richard
R. Fritz, 8044 Pawnee, Apartment 1, Prairie Village, Kansas 66208, on December 18,
2013, by certified mail, restricted delivery, return receipt requested, postage prepaid, a
copy of the following documents: Information; Memorandum for Advisory Committee
Chair; Notice Pursuant to Rules 5.11, 5.13 and 5.14; a list of the names of all disciplinary
hearing officers and advisory committee members; and copies of all statements or
documents obtained in the investigation of these matters. Said address is the last known
residence address for Respondent. The address designated in his most recent registration
with The Missouri Bar is 4600 Madison Avenue, Suite 1100, Kansas City, Missouri
64112 but Respondent has not maintained an office at that location since 2011 and his
mail has been forwarded to the residence address in Prairie Village, Kansas.

3. Although Affiant did not receive the certified mail return receipt signed by
Respondent, delivery of the Information and all accompanying documents were delivered




on December 27, 2013 per the U.S. Postal Service Product and Tracking Information website. A copy of the USPS tracking information is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein.

4. In addition to being CLE delinquent Respondent has been delinquent in the payment of his enrollment fees since January 31, 2011.

5. Although more than thirty (30) days has elapsed since the Notice and Information was mailed to Respondent, Respondent has failed to respond.


Further Affiant sayeth not.



Charles W. Gotschall MO Bar #27744
Division III, Special Representative
4700 Belleview, Suite 215
Kansas City, Missouri 64112
(816) 561-2300
(816) 561-0760 fax
ATTORNEY FOR INFORMANT

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, this 19th day of March, 2014.


My Commission Expires: 9/2/2014


Notary Public

DEANNA NOCE
My Commission Expires
September 2, 2014
Jackson County
Commission #10013381

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this Affidavit was mailed by certified mail, postage prepaid on this 19th day of March, 2014 to: Richard R. Fritz, 8044 Pawnee, Apartment 1, Prairie Village, Kansas 66208 said address being the last known residence address for Respondent.



Charles W. Gotschall

Exhibit A

English Customer Service USPS Mobile

Register / Sign In

USPS.COM

Search USPS.com or Track Packages

Quick Tools
Track
Enter up to 10 Tracking # Find
Find USPS Locations
Buy Stamps
Schedule a Pickup
Calculate Postage
Look Up ZIP Codes
Hold Mail
Change of Address

USPS Tracking™



Customer Service
Have questions? We're here to help.

Tracking Number: 70081830000049386435



DELIVERED

Product & Tracking Information

Available Actions

Postal Product:

Features:
Certified Mail™

| DATE | TIME | STATUS | LOCATION |
|-------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| December 27, 2013 | 10:48 am | Delivered | PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KS 65208 |
| December 19, 2013 | 2:50 pm | Notice Left | PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KS 65208 |
| December 19, 2013 | | Depart USPS Sort Facility | KANSAS CITY, MO 64121 |
| December 19, 2013 | 3:59 am | Processed through USPS Sort Facility | KANSAS CITY, MO 64121 |
| December 18, 2013 | 10:45 pm | Processed through USPS Sort Facility | KANSAS CITY, MO 64121 |

Track Another Package

What's your tracking (or receipt) number?

Track It

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com

OFFICIAL USE

| | |
|---|----------|
| Postage | \$ 1.32 |
| Certified Fee | 3.10 |
| Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required) | 2.55 |
| Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required) | 4.75 |
| Total Postage & Fees | \$ 11.72 |

Postmark
Here

Sent To: **Richard R. Fritz**
Street, Apt. No., or PO Box No.: **8044 Pawnee Apt. 1**
City, State, ZIP+4: **Prairie Village KS 66102**

PS Form 3800, August 2005

See Reverse for Instructions

ON ABOUT USPS.COM
About USPS Home
Newsroom
USPS Service Alerts
Forms & Publications
Careers

OTHER USPS SITES
Business Customer Gateway
Postal Inspectors
Inspector General
Postal Explorer

Facebook Twitter YouTube

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

RECEIVED
AC/LEC
JAN 30 2014

IN RE:)
)
RICHARD R. FRITZ)
MO Bar No. 53606)
8044 Pawnee, Apt. 1)
Prairie Village KS 66208)
)
Respondent)

DHP-14-005
File No. ~~DHP-13-054~~
OCDC File No.: 13-162-IV

INFORMATION

Comes now Division III of the Region IV Disciplinary Committee and charges:

1. ~~Informant is Division III of the Region IV Disciplinary Committee~~
appointed by this Court pursuant to Rule 5.02.
2. Informant has determined, pursuant to Rule 5.11, that probable cause exists to believe that Respondent is guilty of professional misconduct.
3. Respondent was licensed as an attorney in Missouri on March 6, 2002. His bar number is 53606. His date of birth is January 12, 1967.
4. Respondent's license is currently stricken for not keeping his CLE current.
5. The address designated in his most recent registration with The Missouri Bar is 4600 Madison Avenue, Suite 1100, Kansas City, Missouri 64112 but on information and belief he no longer maintains an office at that location.
6. On or about February 16, 2012, Respondent met with Ms. Willie Mae Jackson (hereinafter "Jackson") at the Omni Hotel in Dallas, Texas to discuss a real estate matter involving land and oil rights in her family. Respondent took with him

EXHIBIT
B

approximately 175 sheets of Jackson's documents and promised to return them to her in two weeks.

7. Respondent never contacted Jackson to return the documents. Jackson made numerous attempts to contact Respondent by sending text messages, emails and leaving voice messages. Respondent never returned Jackson's documents or contacted her regarding the same.

8. Jackson filed her complaint with the OCDC on January 28, 2013. The OCDC wrote to Respondent on March 21, 2013, April 2, 2013 and again on April 23, 2013 asking for a response to the complaint on or before April 30, 2013. Respondent never responded to the OCDC.

9. Respondent is guilty of professional misconduct under Rule 4-8.4(a) as a result of violating the following:

- a. Supreme Court Rule 4-1.3, by failing to act with reasonable diligence and promptness in handling Jackson's documents in connection with her family's land and oil matter;
- b. Supreme Court Rule 4-1.4, by failing to keep Jackson reasonably informed about the status of her matter and by failing to promptly comply with her request for information about her case;
- c. Supreme Court Rule 4-1.15(e), by failing to maintain Jackson's property separate from his own property failing to return the same and failing to appropriately safeguard her documents;
- d. Supreme Court Rule 4-1.16, by failing to surrender personal property to Jackson upon termination of his representation; and
- e. Supreme Court Rule 4-8.1(c), by failing to respond to a lawful demand for information from the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel.

10. Respondent is guilty of professional misconduct under Rule 4-8.4(d) for the conduct above that is prejudicial to administration of justice.


WHEREFORE, Informant prays that a decision be issued finding Respondent guilty of professional misconduct as alleged in this Information and that Respondent be disciplined in accordance with Rule 5 and that costs be assessed against Respondent.

Designation of Counsel for Informant

The Chief Disciplinary Counsel has designated the following as counsel of record for Informant:

Charles W. Gotschall
4700 Belleview, Suite 215
Kansas City, MO 64112

Respectfully submitted,



Jill A. Kanatzar, Chair
Division III Disciplinary Committee

Advisory Committee of the Supreme Court of Missouri

217 East McCarty Street
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101-3112

RECEIVED
FEB 13 2014

Overseeing Ethical Conduct
of Lawyers in the State of Missouri

OCDC

Richard E. Banks
St. Louis

Alvin L. Brooks
Kansas City

Doreen D. Dodson, Esq.
St. Louis

Sidney A. Dulle, CPA
Jefferson City

John C. Holstein, Esq.
Springfield

David P. Macaubbrie, Esq.
Chillicothe

Susan Ford Robertson
Kansas City

Richard N. Priest
St. Louis

Dorothy White-Coleman, Esq.
St. Louis

Susan Appelquist, Esq.
Springfield

John Briscoe
New London

Jennifer Gille Bacon, Chair
Kansas City

February 13, 2014

Charles W. Gotschall
4700 Belleview, Suite 215
Kansas City, MO 64112

Richard R. Fritz
8044 Pawnee, Apt. 1
Prairie Village, KS 66208

Re: Richard R. Fritz; DHP-14-005

Dear Mr. Gotschall and Mr. Fritz:

The time for Respondent to file an Answer has elapsed. The Advisory Committee has not received an Answer or communication in lieu of an Answer. It appears that Respondent is in default.

In light of the default, the Chair of the Advisory Committee will not appoint a hearing panel. This matter is ready for further action pursuant to Rule 5.13

Sincerely,



Melinda J. Bentley
Legal Ethics Counsel

MJB/ss

cc: Richard R. Fritz
Alan Pratzel

