



**BEFORE THE BOARD OF DISCIPLINARY APPEALS
APPOINTED BY
THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS**

**IN THE MATTER OF
TARA EDEN LATUS
STATE BAR CARD NO. 24062825**

§
§
§

CAUSE NO. 69924

PETITION FOR RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINE

TO THE BOARD OF DISCIPLINARY APPEALS:

Petitioner, the Commission for Lawyer Discipline (hereinafter called “Petitioner”), brings this action against Respondent, Tara Eden Latus, (hereinafter called “Respondent”), showing as follows:

1. This action is commenced by Petitioner pursuant to Part IX of the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure. Petitioner is also providing Respondent a copy of Section 7 of this Board’s Internal Procedural Rules, relating to Reciprocal Discipline Matters.

2. Respondent is a member of the State Bar of Texas and is licensed but not currently authorized to practice law in Texas. Respondent may be served with a true and correct copy of this Petition for Reciprocal Discipline at Tara Eden Latus, 304 Pyrite Terrance, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80905.

3. On or about April 17, 2024, a Stipulation to Discipline Pursuant to C.R.C.P. 242.19 (Exhibit 1) was filed in a matter styled Supreme Court, State of Colorado, Original Proceeding in Discipline Before the Presiding Disciplinary Judge, *Complainant: The People of the State of Colorado, Respondent: Tara Eden Latus, #51871*, Case Number 23PDJ058, which states in pertinent part as follows:

On this 1st day of April, 2024, Jonathan P. White, Assistant Regulation Counsel and attorney for the Complainant, and Tara E. Latus, the Respondent, appearing pro se, enter into the following Stipulation to Discipline pursuant to C.R.C.P. 242.19 ("Stipulation") and submit the same to the Presiding Disciplinary Judge for his consideration.

RECOMMENDATION: A three-year fully-served suspension.

1. Respondent has taken and subscribed to the oath of admission, was admitted to the bar of this Court on March 2, 2018, and is registered as an attorney upon the official records of this Court, registration number 51871. Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of this Court and the Presiding Disciplinary Judge in these proceedings.

2. Respondent enters into this Stipulation freely and voluntarily. No promises have been made concerning future consideration, punishment, or lenience in the above-referenced matter. It is Respondent's personal decision, and Respondent affirms there has been no coercion or other intimidating acts by any person or agency concerning this matter.

3. This matter has become public under the operation of C.R.C.P. 242.41(a). Respondent acknowledges that if the Court approves this Stipulation to Discipline, then this Stipulation and the discipline imposed will be matters of public record. C.R.C.P. 242.41(a)(2). The parties file concurrently a Stipulated Motion for Protective Order with this Stipulation to protect private information in Exhibit 2 to this Stipulation.

4. Respondent is familiar with the rules of the Colorado Supreme Court regarding the procedure for discipline of attorneys and with the rights provided by those rules. Respondent acknowledges the right to a full and complete evidentiary hearing on the Complaint in this matter. At any such hearing, Respondent would have the right to be represented by counsel, present evidence, call witnesses, and cross-examine the witnesses presented by Complainant. At any such formal hearing, Complainant would have the burden of proof and would be required to prove the charges contained in the complaint with clear and convincing evidence. Nonetheless, having full knowledge of the right to such a formal hearing, Respondent waives that right.

5. Respondent and Complainant specifically waive the right to a hearing pursuant to C.R.C.P. 242.30.

6. Respondent and Complainant stipulate to the following facts and conclusions:

- a. Respondent's Colorado law license was administratively suspended May 2, 2022.
- b. Respondent knew of this suspension by at least July 8, 2022.
- c. Respondent provided legal services to clients George Thornock, Kevin Hamm, and Alex Lara between July 25, 2022, and September 27, 2022. She did so while knowing her Colorado law license was suspended, a fact the clients did not learn about until after terminating Respondent's representation.
- d. Respondent sent the clients two engagement letters related to her legal services, each requiring a \$3,500 advance retainer.
- e. Respondent and Mr. Thornock agreed on August 25, 2022, that time spent on legal services prior to receipt of any future retainer would be applied to that future retainer. At the time of this agreement, Respondent estimated the total time on the case to have been five hours.
- f. On October 26 and 27, 2022, Mr. Thornock and Mr. Hamm paid Respondent \$3,600. The clients had new legal work they wished for Respondent to perform going forward. The clients paid \$3,600 rather than \$3,500 to better facilitate an even split of the payment with a third associate of theirs, Alex Lara.
- g. The clients made the \$3,600 payment through Venmo. Per Respondent's instructions, Mr. Thornock and Mr. Hamill sent the payment to a Venmo account belonging to Respondent's husband, Eric Latus.
- h. At the time the clients made this payment, Respondent was not entitled to treat the funds as earned. While she believed some of the funds may have been earned and the clients were satisfied with her services up to that point, she had not accounted for time spent providing legal services. She also had

not provided an invoice to the clients. Furthermore, her Colorado law license was suspended the entire time she performed legal services for the clients. Respondent knew she was prohibited from performing legal services for clients and could not charge for any such legal services performed when her license was suspended.

- i. Respondent did not cause the \$3,600 paid by the clients to be placed in trust.
- j. Respondent did not have an open trust account at the time she accepted these payments through her husband's Venmo account.
- k. Mr. Latus transferred the \$3,600 from his Venmo account to a personal account on November 6, 2022.
- l. The clients terminated Respondent's representation on November 7, 2022, out of dissatisfaction. They requested a full refund of the \$3,600, or if Respondent believed time had been spent on the case, that they reach an agreement as to what was owed.
- m. Upon receipt of the termination notice, Respondent decided to not treat any of the funds paid to her as earned because she had never had a client terminate her services out of dissatisfaction.
- n. Respondent, nonetheless, told the clients on November 9, 2022, that she would calculate her time and return the remaining retainer.
- o. The clients continued to ask for a refund throughout November and into December 2022.
- p. On December 7, 2022, Respondent reinstated her Colorado law license.
- q. On December 13, 2022, Respondent told the clients in an email message their funds had been transferred to her trust account and her ex-husband had stolen their funds from the trust account. This statement was false. Respondent also asked the clients in the same message if she could pay them \$200 per week

for what she owed them so her debt to them would not be extinguished by a planned bankruptcy.

- r. Upon receiving Respondent's December 13, 2022, email, Mr. Hamm asked for the funds paid to be returned immediately.
- s. On November 4, 2023, Respondent paid the \$3,600 back to Mr. Thornock and Mr. Hamm on November 4, 2023, with an additional payment to each representing accrued interest at seven percent.
- t. To repay the clients, Respondent's husband had to sell personal assets.

7. Through her conduct, Respondent violated Colo. RPC 1.15A(a), Colo. RPC 1.158(a)(1), Colo. RPC 1.16(d), Colo. RPC 3.4(c), Colo. RPC 5.5(a)(1), and Colo. RPC 8.4(c).

8. Pursuant to C.R.C.P. 242.19(b)(4), Respondent agrees to pay costs in the amount of \$2,223.73 (**Exhibit 1** contains a copy of the statement of costs) incurred in conjunction with this matter pursuant to the following schedule:

\$224 due within 35 days of any acceptance of this Stipulation by the Court.

\$125 to be paid monthly by the first of the month from June 1, 2024, to August 1, 2025.

\$124.73 to be paid September 1, 2025.

Should Respondent fail to make payment of the aforementioned costs pursuant to the schedule set forth above, Respondent specifically agrees to be responsible for all additional costs and expenses, such as reasonable attorney fees and costs of collection, incurred by Complainant in collecting the above stated amount(s). Complainant may amend the amount of the judgment for the additional costs and expenses by providing a motion and bill of costs to the Presiding Disciplinary Judge, which identifies this paragraph of the Stipulation and Respondent's default on the payment.

9. This Stipulation represents a settlement and compromise of the specific claims and defenses pled by the parties, and it shall have no meaning or effect in any other lawyer regulation case involving another respondent attorney.

10. This Stipulation is premised and conditioned upon acceptance of the same by the Presiding Disciplinary Judge. If for any reason the Stipulation is not accepted without changes or modification, then the admissions, confessions, and stipulations made by Respondent will be of no effect. Either party will have the opportunity to accept or reject any modification. If either party rejects the modification, then the parties shall be entitled to a full evidentiary hearing; and no confession, stipulation, or other statement made by Respondent in conjunction with this offer to accept discipline of a stayed suspension may be subsequently used. If the Stipulation is rejected, then the matter will be heard and considered pursuant to C.R.C.P. 242.30.

11. The Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel will notify the complaining witness of the Court's acceptance of this stipulation.

12. This stipulation does not require Respondent to pay restitution.

The parties request the Court approve this Stipulation and impose a three-year fully-served suspension.

4. On or about April 17, 2024, an Order Approving Stipulation to Discipline Under C.R.C.P. 242.19(c) (Exhibit 2) was entered in a matter styled Supreme Court, State of Colorado, Original Proceeding in Discipline Before the Office of the Presiding Disciplinary Judge, *Complainant: The People of the State of Colorado, Respondent: Tara Eden Latus, #51871*, Case Number 23PDJ058, which states in pertinent part as follows:

Before the Presiding Disciplinary Judge ("the Court") is a "Stipulation to Discipline Pursuant to C.R.C.P. 242.19" filed on April 1, 2024, by Jonathan P. White, Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel ("the People"), and Tara Eden Latus ("Respondent").¹ In the stipulation, the parties waive their right to a hearing.

Under C.R.C.P. 242.19(c), the Court may either approve or reject the parties' stipulation, using discretion and in accordance with the considerations governing imposition of disciplinary sanctions. The Court has reviewed the case file and the stipulation in this case and finds that the parties' agreement as to the facts, rule violations, applicable mitigating and aggravating factors, and

¹ In a contemporaneously issued order, the Court SUPPRESSES exhibit 2 to the parties' stipulation.

sanction is consistent with the American Bar Association *Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions*. The Court therefore **APPROVES** the stipulation and **ORDERS**:

1. **TARA EDEN LATUS**, attorney registration number **51871**, is **SUSPENDED** from the practice of law for a period of **THREE YEARS**.
2. Respondent violated Colo. RPC 1.15A(a); Colo. RPC 1.15B(a)(1); Colo. RPC 1.16(d); Colo. RPC 3.4(c); Colo. RPC 5.5(a)(1); and Colo. RPC 8.4(c).
3. Respondent **MUST** timely comply with C.R.C.P. 242.32(b)-(e), concerning winding up of affairs, notice to current clients, duties owed in litigation matters, and notice to other jurisdictions where she is licensed or otherwise authorized to practice law.
4. Within fourteen days after the effective date of the suspension, Respondent **MUST** file an affidavit with the Court under C.R.C.P. 242.32(f), attesting to her compliance with C.R.C.P. 242.32. As provided in C.R.C.P. 242.41 (b)(5), lists of pending matters, lists of clients, and copies of client notices under C.R.C.P. 242.32(f) must be marked as confidential attachments and filed as separate documents from the affidavit.
5. If Respondent wishes to seek reinstatement to the practice of law after her suspension, she must file a petition for reinstatement under C.R.C.P. 242.39(b).
6. Respondent **MUST** pay \$1,999.73 in costs as well as an administrative fee of \$224.00, both of which are payable to the Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel. Within thirty-five days of the date of this order, Respondent **MUST** pay the administrative fee of \$224.00. Thereafter, Respondent **MUST** pay \$125.00 monthly, on the first day of every month, from June 1, 2024, through August 1, 2025. Respondent **MUST** pay the remaining balance of \$124.73 on September 1, 2025.
7. The Court **VACATES** the hearing scheduled for April 29-30, 2024, and **VACATES** the prehearing conference scheduled for April 15, 2024.

THIS ORDER IS ENTERED THE 3RD DAY OF APRIL, 2024.
THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE SUSPENSION IS THE 3RD
DAY OF APRIL, 2024.²

5. Copies of the Stipulation to Discipline Pursuant to C.R.C.P. 242.19 and Order Approving Stipulation to Discipline Under C.R.C.P. 242.19(c), are attached hereto as Petitioner's Exhibits 1 and 2, and made a part hereof for all intents and purposes as if the same were copied verbatim herein. Petitioner expects to introduce certified copies of Exhibits 1 and 2, at the time of hearing of this cause.

6. Petitioner prays that, pursuant to Rule 9.02, Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure, that this Board issue notice to Respondent, containing a copy of this Petition with exhibits, and an order directing Respondent to show cause within thirty (30) days from the date of the mailing of the notice, why the imposition of the identical discipline in this state would be unwarranted. Petitioner further prays that upon trial of this matter that this Board enters a judgment imposing discipline identical with that imposed by the Supreme Court of the State of Colorado and that Petitioner have such other and further relief to which it may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

Seana Willing
Chief Disciplinary Counsel

Richard A. Huntpalmer
Assistant Disciplinary Counsel
Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
P.O. Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711
Telephone: 512.427.1350
Telecopier: 512.427.4253

² The parties do not specify when the sanction should take effect, and the Court finds that no harm will accrue to either party if the suspension begins immediately. But the parties may move for relief if the effective date of the sanction does not accord with their agreement.

Email: richard.huntpalmer@texasbar.com



Richard A. Huntpalmer
Bar Card No. 24097857

ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that upon receipt of the Order to Show Cause from the Board of Disciplinary Appeals, I will serve a copy of this Petition for Reciprocal Discipline and the Order to Show Cause on Tara Eden Latus by personal service.

Tara Eden Latus
304 Pyrite Terrance
Colorado Springs, CO 80905


Richard A. Huntpalmer

Supreme Court

State of Colorado
Certified to be a full, true and correct copy

APR 17 2024

<p>SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO</p> <p>ORIGINAL PROCEEDING IN DISCIPLINE BEFORE THE PRESIDING DISCIPLINARY JUDGE 1300 Broadway, Suite 250 Denver, Colorado 80203</p>	<p>Office of the Presiding Disciplinary Judge</p> <p>By <u>[Signature]</u> April 1, 2024</p>
<p>Complainant: THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO</p> <p>Respondent: TARA EDEN LATUS, #51871</p>	<p>Presiding Disciplinary Judge Colorado Supreme Court</p>
<p>Jonathan P. White, #42687 Assistant Regulation Counsel Attorney for Complainant 1300 Broadway, Suite 500 Denver, Colorado 80203 Telephone: (303) 928-7919 Fax Number: (303) 501-1141 Email: j.white@csc.state.co.us</p> <p>Tara E. Latus, #51871 Respondent, self-represented 304 Pyrite Terrace Colorado Springs, Colorado 80905 Telephone: (925) 876-4995 Email: latus.tara@gmail.com</p>	<p>▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲</p> <p>Case Number: 23PDJ058</p>
<p>STIPULATION TO DISCIPLINE PURSUANT TO C.R.C.P. 242.19</p>	

On this 1st day of April, 2024, Jonathan P. White, Assistant Regulation Counsel and attorney for the Complainant, and Tara E. Latus, the Respondent, appearing *pro se*, enter into the following Stipulation to Discipline pursuant to C.R.C.P. 242.19 ("Stipulation") and submit the same to the Presiding Disciplinary Judge for his consideration.

RECOMMENDATION: A three-year fully-served suspension.

1. Respondent has taken and subscribed to the oath of admission, was admitted to the bar of this Court on March 2, 2018, and is registered as an attorney upon the official records of this Court, registration number 51871. Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of this Court and the Presiding Disciplinary Judge in these proceedings.

2. Respondent enters into this Stipulation freely and voluntarily. No promises have been made concerning future consideration, punishment, or lenience in the above-referenced

EXHIBIT

1

matter. It is Respondent's personal decision, and Respondent affirms there has been no coercion or other intimidating acts by any person or agency concerning this matter.

3. This matter has become public under the operation of C.R.C.P. 242.41(a). Respondent acknowledges that if the Court approves this Stipulation to Discipline, then this Stipulation and the discipline imposed will be matters of public record. C.R.C.P. 242.41(a)(2). The parties file concurrently a Stipulated Motion for Protective Order with this Stipulation to protect private information in Exhibit 2 to this Stipulation.

4. Respondent is familiar with the rules of the Colorado Supreme Court regarding the procedure for discipline of attorneys and with the rights provided by those rules. Respondent acknowledges the right to a full and complete evidentiary hearing on the Complaint in this matter. At any such hearing, Respondent would have the right to be represented by counsel, present evidence, call witnesses, and cross-examine the witnesses presented by Complainant. At any such formal hearing, Complainant would have the burden of proof and would be required to prove the charges contained in the complaint with clear and convincing evidence. Nonetheless, having full knowledge of the right to such a formal hearing, Respondent waives that right.

5. Respondent and Complainant specifically waive the right to a hearing pursuant to C.R.C.P. 242.30.

6. Respondent and Complainant stipulate to the following facts and conclusions:

- a. Respondent's Colorado law license was administratively suspended May 2, 2022.
- b. Respondent knew of this suspension by at least July 8, 2022.
- c. Respondent provided legal services to clients George Thornock, Kevin Hamm, and Alex Lara between July 25, 2022, and September 27, 2022. She did so while knowing her Colorado law license was suspended, a fact the clients did not learn about until after terminating Respondent's representation.
- d. Respondent sent the clients two engagement letters related to her legal services, each requiring a \$3,500 advance retainer.
- e. Respondent and Mr. Thornock agreed on August 25, 2022, that time spent on legal services prior to receipt of any future retainer would be applied to that future retainer. At the time of this agreement, Respondent estimated the total time on the case to have been five hours.
- f. On October 26 and 27, 2022, Mr. Thornock and Mr. Hamm paid Respondent \$3,600. The clients had new legal work they wished for Respondent to perform going forward. The clients paid \$3,600 rather than \$3,500 to better facilitate an even split of the payment with a third associate of theirs, Alex Lara.
- g. The clients made the \$3,600 payment through Venmo. Per Respondent's

instructions, Mr. Thornock and Mr. Hamm sent the payment to a Venmo account belonging to Respondent's husband, Eric Latus.

- h. At the time the clients made this payment, Respondent was not entitled to treat the funds as earned. While she believed some of the funds may have been earned and the clients were satisfied with her services up to that point, she had not accounted for time spent providing legal services. She also had not provided an invoice to the clients. Furthermore, her Colorado law license was suspended the entire time she performed legal services for the clients. Respondent knew she was prohibited from performing legal services for clients and could not charge for any such legal services performed when her license was suspended.
- i. Respondent did not cause the \$3,600 paid by the clients to be placed in trust.
- j. Respondent did not have an open trust account at the time she accepted these payments through her husband's Venmo account.
- k. Mr. Latus transferred the \$3,600 from his Venmo account to a personal account on November 6, 2022.
- l. The clients terminated Respondent's representation on November 7, 2022, out of dissatisfaction. They requested a full refund of the \$3,600, or if Respondent believed time had been spent on the case, that they reach an agreement as to what was owed.
- m. Upon receipt of the termination notice, Respondent decided to not treat any of the funds paid to her as earned because she had never had a client terminate her services out of dissatisfaction.
- n. Respondent, nonetheless, told the clients on November 9, 2022, that she would calculate her time and return the remaining retainer.
- o. The clients continued to ask for a refund throughout November and into December 2022.
- p. On December 7, 2022, Respondent reinstated her Colorado law license.
- q. On December 13, 2022, Respondent told the clients in an email message their funds had been transferred to her trust account and her ex-husband had stolen their funds from the trust account. This statement was false. Respondent also asked the clients in the same message if she could pay them \$200 per week for what she owed them so her debt to them would not be extinguished by a planned bankruptcy.
- r. Upon receiving Respondent's December 13, 2022, email, Mr. Hamm asked for the funds paid to be returned immediately.

s. On November 4, 2023, Respondent paid the \$3,600 back to Mr. Thornock and Mr. Hamm on November 4, 2023, with an additional payment to each representing accrued interest at seven percent.

t. To repay the clients, Respondent's husband had to sell personal assets.

7. Through her conduct, Respondent violated Colo. RPC 1.15A(a), Colo. RPC 1.15B(a)(1), Colo. RPC 1.16(d), Colo. RPC 3.4(c), Colo. RPC 5.5(a)(1), and Colo. RPC 8.4(c).

8. Pursuant to C.R.C.P. 242.19(b)(4), Respondent agrees to pay costs in the amount of \$2,223.73 (Exhibit 1 contains a copy of the statement of costs) incurred in conjunction with this matter pursuant to the following schedule:

\$224 due within 35 days of any acceptance of this Stipulation by the Court.

\$125 to be paid monthly by the first of the month from June 1, 2024, to August 1, 2025.

\$124.73 to be paid September 1, 2025.

Should Respondent fail to make payment of the aforementioned costs pursuant to the schedule set forth above, Respondent specifically agrees to be responsible for all additional costs and expenses, such as reasonable attorney fees and costs of collection, incurred by Complainant in collecting the above stated amount(s). Complainant may amend the amount of the judgment for the additional costs and expenses by providing a motion and bill of costs to the Presiding Disciplinary Judge, which identifies this paragraph of the Stipulation and Respondent's default on the payment.

9. This Stipulation represents a settlement and compromise of the specific claims and defenses pled by the parties, and it shall have no meaning or effect in any other lawyer regulation case involving another respondent attorney.

10. This Stipulation is premised and conditioned upon acceptance of the same by the Presiding Disciplinary Judge. If for any reason the Stipulation is not accepted without changes or modification, then the admissions, confessions, and stipulations made by Respondent will be of no effect. Either party will have the opportunity to accept or reject any modification. If either party rejects the modification, then the parties shall be entitled to a full evidentiary hearing; and no confession, stipulation, or other statement made by Respondent in conjunction with this offer to accept discipline of a stayed suspension may be subsequently used. If the Stipulation is rejected, then the matter will be heard and considered pursuant to C.R.C.P. 242.30.

11. The Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel will notify the complaining witness of the Court's acceptance of this stipulation.

12. This stipulation does not require Respondent to pay restitution.

PRIOR DISCIPLINE

13. None.

ANALYSIS OF DISCIPLINE

14. The Colorado Supreme Court recognizes the American Bar Association *Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions* (1991 and Supp. 1992) (“ABA Standards”) as the guiding authority for selecting the appropriate sanction to impose for lawyer misconduct. *See In re Roose*, 69 P.3d 43, 46-47 (Colo. 2003) (citing *In re Attorney D*, 57 P.3d 395, 399 (Colo. 2002)). The Colorado Supreme Court has stated:

The ABA Standards were created as a model system of sanctions, designed to achieve greater consistency in the sanctioning of attorney misconduct while at the same time leaving room for “flexibility and creativity in assigning sanctions in particular cases of lawyer misconduct.” ABA Standards, Preface (2005). Flexibility and discretion are built into the ABA Standards’ two-step framework for determining the appropriate sanction. *See* ABA Standards, Theoretical Framework; ABA Standard 3.0 & cmt [T]his framework is “not designed to propose a specific sanction for each of the myriad of fact patterns in cases of lawyer misconduct,” but rather is designed to “give courts the flexibility to select the appropriate sanction in each particular case.” ABA Standards, Theoretical Framework; *see also* ABA Standard 1.3 cmt. . . .

In re Attorney F, 285 P.3d 322, 326 (Colo. 2012); *see also* ABA Standards 1.3 cmt. (“While these standards set forth a comprehensive model to be used in imposing sanctions, they also recognize that sanctions imposed must reflect the circumstances of each individual lawyer, and therefore provide for consideration of aggravating and mitigating circumstances in each case.”).

The Colorado Supreme Court has also stated: “individual circumstances make extremely problematic any meaningful comparison of discipline ultimately imposed in different cases.” *In re Rosen*, 198 P.3d 116, 121 (Colo. 2008).

15. Pursuant to the ABA *Standards*, § 3.0, the Court should consider the following factors generally as applied to this matter:

- a. The duties violated: Respondent failed to preserve client property. *See* ABA Standard 4.11. Respondent abused the legal process by violating court orders. *See* ABA Standard 6.22. Respondent violated duties owed as a professional. *See* ABA Standard 7.2.
- b. The lawyer’s mental state: knowing as to violations of Colo. RPC 1.15A(a), Colo. RPC 1.15B(a)(1), Colo. RPC 1.16(d), Colo. RPC 3.4(c), Colo. RPC 5.5(a)(1), and Colo. RPC 8.4(c).
- c. The actual or potential injury caused by the lawyer’s misconduct: Respondent knowingly converted client funds she knew she was not authorized to take for personal use. She did not return those funds to her former clients for nearly a year after the clients asked for the money’s return and after Respondent acknowledged she was obligated to comply with this request. This conduct diminished public trust

in lawyers and the legal profession. Respondent also practiced law while her license was suspended. This, too, diminished public trust in the reputation of lawyers.

16. ABA *Standard* 4.11 provides that disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly converts client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client. ABA *Standard* 6.22 provides that suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knows she is violating a court order or rule, and causes injury or potential injury to a client or a party, or causes interference or potential interference with a legal proceeding. ABA *Standard* 7.2 provides that suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly engages in conduct that is a violation of a duty owed as a professional and causes injury or potential injury to a client, the public, or the legal system.

17. The following aggravating and mitigating factors impact these presumptions:

Pursuant to ABA *Standards* § 9.22, factors in aggravation are factor (b), dishonest or selfish motive; factor (i), substantial experience in the practice of law. The parties agree these factors should be accorded average weight.

Pursuant to ABA *Standards* § 9.32, factors in mitigation include: factor (a), absence of prior discipline; factor (c), personal or emotional problems; factor (e) cooperative attitude towards these proceedings; factor (g), reputation; factor (k), imposition of other penalties and sanctions, and factor (l), remorse. The parties agree these factors should be accorded average weight with the exception of the following: factor (c), to which they believe very substantial weight should apply for the reasons below, factor (a), which should be accorded more than average weight (Respondent has been licensed to practice law since receiving a law license in Texas in 2008), and factor (k), based on the interim suspension of Respondent's license on December 28, 2023.

Specifically in reference to factor (c), the parties submit a separate **Exhibit 2** to this Stipulation describing personal and emotional problems Respondent encountered before and during the timeframe she undertook this representation, which include the emotional strain of multiple profoundly challenging issues surrounding the dissolution of her marriage, mental health problems, and being a victim of domestic violence. As referenced, the parties ask the Court to accord this factor substantial weight in light of the nature of the issues described in that exhibit, including the extraordinary nature of some of those issues. They also submit the above-referenced Joint Stipulated Motion for Protective Order seeking to suppress Exhibit 2 based on the highly sensitive nature of the information in that exhibit.

In reference to factor (g), Respondent is a national expert in telemedicine law, as well as healthcare non-profit and corporate structuring. She served as an adjunct professor in "Healthcare, Technology and the Law" at Texas A&M University School of Law from 2014 to 2017. This was the first telemedicine law course offered in the United States. She has authored or co-authored publications including a book, book chapters, and articles on telemedicine law published by the American

Bar Association, the American Health Lawyers Association, the California Society for Healthcare Attorneys, and the California Medical Association. She has presented at meetings of the American Telemedicine Association, the California Society for Healthcare Attorneys, and the Royal Society of Medicine.

Last, in reference to factor (l), Respondent apologized to Mr. Thornock and Mr. Hamm when she returned their funds in November 2023 that they were unsatisfied with her services during what was a difficult period in her life.

18. The following Colorado case law and decisions support the parties' stipulation:
 - a. *People v. Fischer*, 89 P.3d 817 (Colo. 2004), supports the parties' request that the Court approve a deviation from the presumptive sanction of disbarment based on mitigation. In that case, a lawyer stipulated to violating Colo. RPC 3.4(c) and Colo. RPC 8.4(c) for violating a court order and for knowing conversion of third party funds. *Id.* at 819. A hearing board ordered disbarment as a sanction, which the Colorado Supreme Court reversed and instead ordered a one-year-and-one-day suspension. *Id.* at 822. The court noted there were "sufficient facts in mitigation . . . established to make suspension, rather than disbarment, the appropriate sanction." *Id.* at 820. Those included accepting personal responsibility for the repayment of debts. *Id.* at 821.
 - b. Though not authoritative, the parties' stipulation in *People v. Snyder*, 18PDJ042, 2019 WL 2178684 (Colo. O.P.D.J. 2019), supports the proposed resolution. There the Court approved a deviation from the presumptive sanction of disbarment where a lawyer accepted a \$1,000 retainer, did little work for the client, and did not return the unearned retainer. *Id.* The lawyer stopped communicating with the clients, and also did not respond to the Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel's investigation. *Id.* The parties agreed that significant mitigating factors warranted a three-year suspension as opposed to disbarment as a sanction. *Id.* Unlike the circumstances in *Snyder*, Respondent has cooperated with the People's investigation of her conduct.
 - c. Additionally, the Court approved a stipulation to discipline in *People v. Romney*, 20PDJ013, 2020 WL 1528498 (Colo. O.P.D.J. 2020), where a lawyer deposited unearned funds into his operating account and transferred unearned funds into his operating account. *Id.* Among the rules the lawyer violated were Colo. RPC 1.15A(a) and Colo. RPC 8.4(c). *Id.* The sanction took into account "compelling" mitigation and was a three-year fully-served suspension. *Id.*

Here, the parties stipulate and agree that Respondent's dishonest conduct and knowingly violating court orders is significant misconduct. The parties also agree that mitigating factor (c), personal and emotional problems, should be accorded very substantial weight. That mitigation and the gravity of the underlying issues is of such a nature that the mitigation merits a departure from the presumptive sanction under the ABA *Standards*. There are also far more mitigating factors present in this matter than aggravating factors. Additionally, the parties note Respondent repaid the clients \$3,600 with an additional payment of interest.

The parties request the Court approve this Stipulation and impose a three-year fully-served suspension.



Tara Eden Latus, #51871
Respondent
304 Pyrite Terrace
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80905
Telephone: (925) 876-4995

STATE OF COLORADO)
) ss:
COUNTY OF El Paso)


Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of April, 2024, by
Tara Eden Latus, the Respondent.


Witness my hand and official seal.

My commission expires:

07/19/2021

VANESSA GOMEZ
Notary Public
State of Colorado
Notary ID # 20194027384
My Commission Expires 07-19-2027


Notary Public



Jonathan P. White, #42687
Assistant Regulation Counsel
1300 Broadway, Suite 500
Denver, Colorado 80203
Telephone: (303) 928-7919
Attorney for the People

Statement of Costs

**Tara Latus
23PDJ058**

3/22/2024	Javernick & Stenstrom LLC - Deposition	\$	1,930.13
3/26/2024	D&H Transcription Service LLP	\$	69.60
3/26/2024	Administrative Fee	\$	<u>224.00</u>
	AMOUNT DUE	\$	2,223.73

SUPPRESSED

PLEADING

SEE

SUPPRESSED

FOLDER

APR 17 2024

<p>SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO ORIGINAL PROCEEDING IN DISCIPLINE BEFORE THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDING DISCIPLINARY JUDGE 1300 BROADWAY, SUITE 250 DENVER, CO 80203</p>	<p>Office of the Presiding Disciplinary Judge</p> <p>By _____</p>
<p>Complainant: THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO</p> <p>Respondent: TARA EDEN LATUS, #51871</p>	<p>Case Number: 23PDJ058</p>
<p>ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION TO DISCIPLINE UNDER C.R.C.P. 242.19(c)</p>	

Before the Presiding Disciplinary Judge (“the Court”) is a “Stipulation to Discipline Pursuant to C.R.C.P. 242.19” filed on April 1, 2024, by Jonathan P. White, Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel (“the People”), and Tara Eden Latus (“Respondent”).¹ In the stipulation, the parties waive their right to a hearing.

Under C.R.C.P. 242.19(c), the Court may either approve or reject the parties’ stipulation, using discretion and in accordance with the considerations governing imposition of disciplinary sanctions. The Court has reviewed the case file and the stipulation in this case and finds that the parties’ agreement as to the facts, rule violations, applicable mitigating and aggravating factors, and sanction is consistent with the American Bar Association *Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions*. The Court therefore **APPROVES** the stipulation and **ORDERS**:

1. **TARA EDEN LATUS**, attorney registration number **51871**, is **SUSPENDED** from the practice of law for a period of **THREE YEARS**.
2. Respondent violated Colo. RPC 1.15A(a); Colo. RPC 1.15B(a)(1); Colo. RPC 1.16(d); Colo. RPC 3.4(c); Colo. RPC 5.5(a)(1); and Colo. RPC 8.4(c).
3. Respondent **MUST** timely comply with C.R.C.P. 242.32(b)-(e), concerning winding up of affairs, notice to current clients, duties owed in litigation matters, and notice to other jurisdictions where she is licensed or otherwise authorized to practice law.
4. Within fourteen days after the effective date of the suspension, Respondent **MUST** file an affidavit with the Court under C.R.C.P. 242.32(f), attesting to her compliance with

¹ In a contemporaneously issued order, the Court **SUPPRESSES** exhibit 2 to the parties’ stipulation.



C.R.C.P. 242.32. As provided in C.R.C.P. 242.41(b)(5), lists of pending matters, lists of clients, and copies of client notices under C.R.C.P. 242.32(f) must be marked as confidential attachments and filed as separate documents from the affidavit.

5. If Respondent wishes to seek reinstatement to the practice of law after her suspension, she must file a petition for reinstatement under C.R.C.P. 242.39(b).
6. Respondent **MUST** pay \$1,999.73 in costs as well as an administrative fee of \$224.00, both of which are payable to the Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel. Within thirty-five days of the date of this order, Respondent **MUST** pay the administrative fee of \$224.00. Thereafter, Respondent **MUST** pay \$125.00 monthly, on the first day of every month, from June 1, 2024, through August 1, 2025. Respondent **MUST** pay the remaining balance of \$124.73 on September 1, 2025.
7. The Court **VACATES** the hearing scheduled for April 29-30, 2024, and **VACATES** the prehearing conference scheduled for April 15, 2024.

THIS ORDER IS ENTERED THE 3rd DAY OF APRIL, 2024. THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE SUSPENSION IS THE 3rd DAY OF APRIL, 2024.²



DATED THIS 3rd DAY OF APRIL, 2024.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Bryon M. Large".

BRYON M. LARGE
PRESIDING DISCIPLINARY JUDGE

² The parties do not specify when the sanction should take effect, and the Court finds that no harm will accrue to either party if the suspension begins immediately. But the parties may move for relief if the effective date of the sanction does not accord with their agreement.

Respondent

Tara Eden Latus
304 Pyrite Terrace
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
Latus.tara@gmail.com

Via Email

Martindale-Hubbell

Attn: Editorial Dept.
121 Chanlon Road, Suite 110
New Providence, NJ 07974
disciplinaryaction@lexisnexis.com

Via Email

Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel

Jonathan P. White
1300 Broadway, Suite 500
Denver, CO 80203
j.white@csc.state.co.us

Via Email

Supreme Court of the United States

Perry Thompson, Admissions Office
1 First Street Northeast
Washington, D.C. 20543
pthompson@supremecourt.gov
ptadmit@supremecourt.gov

Via Email

American Bar Association

c/o Kevin Hanks
Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel
1300 Broadway, Suite 500
Denver, CO 80203
k.hanks@csc.state.co.us

Via Email

United States Bankruptcy Court

Laura Guice
721 19th Street, Room 117
Denver, CO 80202-2508
laura_guice@cob.uscourts.gov
cobml_training@cob.uscourts.gov

Via Email

**Board of Continuing Legal Education and
Colorado Attorney Registration**

Elvia Mondragon
Office of Attorney Registration
1300 Broadway, Suite 510
Denver, CO 80203
e.mondragon@csc.state.co.us

Via Email

United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit

Byron White United States Courthouse
1823 Stout Street
Denver, CO 80257
disciplinaryorders@ca10.uscourts.gov

Via Email

Colorado Bar Association

Dan Sweetser, Deputy Executive Director
Margaret Haywood, Membership Director
1290 Broadway, 17th Floor
Denver, CO 80203
dsweetser@cobar.org
mhaywood@cobar.org

Via Email

United States District Court, District of Colorado

Alfred A. Arraj U.S. Courthouse
Mark Fredrickson, Atty Services Coordinator
901 19th Street, Room A-105
Denver, CO 80294-3589
mark_fredrickson@cod.uscourts.gov
amelia_dubois@cod.uscourts.gov
ashley_sheehan@cod.uscourts.gov

Via Email

Colorado Supreme Court

Cheryl Stevens
2 East 14th Avenue
Denver, CO 80203
cheryl.stevens@judicial.state.co.us
heather.petercarroll@judicial.state.co.us
liz.cunningham@judicial.state.co.us

Via Email

**United States Department of Justice,
Executive Office for Immigration Review**

Office of the General Counsel
Allison Minor, Disciplinary Counsel
5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2600
Falls Church, VA 22041
lea.minor@usdoj.gov

Via Email

IRS, Office of Professional Responsibility

Kathy Gibbs
SE: OPR, 1111, Constitutional Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20224
kathy.a.gibbs@irs.gov

Via Email

United States Department of Justice, Trustee's Office

Gregory Garvin, Assistant U.S. Trustee
999 18th Street, Suite 1551
Denver, CO 80202
gregory.garvin@usdoj.gov

Via Email

INTERNAL PROCEDURAL RULES

BOARD OF DISCIPLINARY APPEALS

Current through June 21, 2018

Contents

I. GENERAL PROVISIONS	1
Rule 1.01. Definitions.....	1
Rule 1.02. General Powers	1
Rule 1.03. Additional Rules in Disciplinary Matters.....	1
Rule 1.04. Appointment of Panels	1
Rule 1.05. Filing of Pleadings, Motions, and Other Papers.....	1
Rule 1.06. Service of Petition	2
Rule 1.07. Hearing Setting and Notice	2
Rule 1.08. Time to Answer	2
Rule 1.09. Pretrial Procedure	2
Rule 1.10. Decisions	3
Rule 1.11. Board of Disciplinary Appeals Opinions.....	3
Rule 1.12. BODA Work Product and Drafts	3
Rule 1.13. Record Retention.....	3
Rule 1.14. Costs of Reproduction of Records.....	3
Rule 1.15. Publication of These Rules.....	3
II. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS	3
Rule 2.01. Representing or Counseling Parties in Disciplinary Matters and Legal Malpractice Cases.....	3
Rule 2.02. Confidentiality.....	4
Rule 2.03. Disqualification and Recusal of BODA Members	4
III. CLASSIFICATION APPEALS	4
Rule 3.01. Notice of Right to Appeal	4
Rule 3.02. Record on Appeal.....	4
IV. APPEALS FROM EVIDENTIARY PANEL HEARINGS	4
Rule 4.01. Perfecting Appeal.....	4
Rule 4.02. Record on Appeal.....	5
Rule 4.03. Time to File Record.....	6
Rule 4.04. Copies of the Record	6
Rule 4.05. Requisites of Briefs	6
Rule 4.06. Oral Argument.....	7
Rule 4.07. Decision and Judgment	7
Rule 4.08. Appointment of Statewide Grievance Committee.....	8
Rule 4.09. Involuntary Dismissal.....	8
V. PETITIONS TO REVOKE PROBATION	8
Rule 5.01. Initiation and Service.....	8
Rule 5.02. Hearing.....	8

VI. COMPULSORY DISCIPLINE	8
Rule 6.01. Initiation of Proceeding.....	8
Rule 6.02. Interlocutory Suspension.....	8
VII. RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINE	9
Rule 7.01. Initiation of Proceeding.....	9
Rule 7.02. Order to Show Cause.....	9
Rule 7.03. Attorney’s Response.....	9
VIII. DISTRICT DISABILITY COMMITTEE HEARINGS	9
Rule 8.01. Appointment of District Disability Committee.....	9
Rule 8.02. Petition and Answer.....	9
Rule 8.03. Discovery.....	9
Rule 8.04. Ability to Compel Attendance.....	10
Rule 8.05. Respondent’s Right to Counsel.....	10
Rule 8.06. Hearing.....	10
Rule 8.07. Notice of Decision.....	10
Rule 8.08. Confidentiality.....	10
IX. DISABILITY REINSTATEMENTS	10
Rule 9.01. Petition for Reinstatement.....	10
Rule 9.02. Discovery.....	10
Rule 9.03. Physical or Mental Examinations.....	10
Rule 9.04. Judgment.....	10
X. APPEALS FROM BODA TO THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS	11
Rule 10.01. Appeals to the Supreme Court.....	11

INTERNAL PROCEDURAL RULES

Board of Disciplinary Appeals

Current through June 21, 2018

I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

Rule 1.01. Definitions

- (a) “BODA” is the Board of Disciplinary Appeals.
- (b) “Chair” is the member elected by BODA to serve as chair or, in the Chair’s absence, the member elected by BODA to serve as vice-chair.
- (c) “Classification” is the determination by the CDC under TRDP 2.10 or by BODA under TRDP 7.08(C) whether a grievance constitutes a “complaint” or an “inquiry.”
- (d) “BODA Clerk” is the executive director of BODA or other person appointed by BODA to assume all duties normally performed by the clerk of a court.
- (e) “CDC” is the Chief Disciplinary Counsel for the State Bar of Texas and his or her assistants.
- (f) “Commission” is the Commission for Lawyer Discipline, a permanent committee of the State Bar of Texas.
- (g) “Executive Director” is the executive director of BODA.
- (h) “Panel” is any three-member grouping of BODA under TRDP 7.05.
- (i) “Party” is a Complainant, a Respondent, or the Commission.
- (j) “TDRPC” is the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (k) “TRAP” is the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure.
- (l) “TRCP” is the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.
- (m) “TRDP” is the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure.
- (n) “TRE” is the Texas Rules of Evidence.

Rule 1.02. General Powers

Under TRDP 7.08, BODA has and may exercise all the powers of either a trial court or an appellate court, as the case may be, in hearing and determining disciplinary proceedings. But TRDP 15.01 [17.01] applies to the enforcement of a judgment of BODA.

Rule 1.03. Additional Rules in Disciplinary Matters

Except as varied by these rules and to the extent applicable, the TRCP, TRAP, and TRE apply to all disciplinary matters before BODA, except for appeals from classification decisions, which are governed by TRDP 2.10 and by Section 3 of these rules.

Rule 1.04. Appointment of Panels

- (a) BODA may consider any matter or motion by panel,

except as specified in (b). The Chair may delegate to the Executive Director the duty to appoint a panel for any BODA action. Decisions are made by a majority vote of the panel; however, any panel member may refer a matter for consideration by BODA sitting en banc. Nothing in these rules gives a party the right to be heard by BODA sitting en banc.

- (b) Any disciplinary matter naming a BODA member as Respondent must be considered by BODA sitting en banc. A disciplinary matter naming a BODA staff member as Respondent need not be heard en banc.

Rule 1.05. Filing of Pleadings, Motions, and Other Papers

- (a) **Electronic Filing.** All documents must be filed electronically. Unrepresented persons or those without the means to file electronically may electronically file documents, but it is not required.

- (1) Email Address. The email address of an attorney or an unrepresented party who electronically files a document must be included on the document.

- (2) Timely Filing. Documents are filed electronically by emailing the document to the BODA Clerk at the email address designated by BODA for that purpose. A document filed by email will be considered filed the day that the email is sent. The date sent is the date shown for the message in the inbox of the email account designated for receiving filings. If a document is sent after 5:00 p.m. or on a weekend or holiday officially observed by the State of Texas, it is considered filed the next business day.

- (3) It is the responsibility of the party filing a document by email to obtain the correct email address for BODA and to confirm that the document was received by BODA in legible form. Any document that is illegible or that cannot be opened as part of an email attachment will not be considered filed. If a document is untimely due to a technical failure or a system outage, the filing party may seek appropriate relief from BODA.

- (4) Exceptions.

- (i) An appeal to BODA of a decision by the CDC to classify a grievance as an inquiry is not required to be filed electronically.

- (ii) The following documents must not be filed electronically:

- a) documents that are filed under seal or subject to a pending motion to seal; and

- b) documents to which access is otherwise restricted by court order.

- (iii) For good cause, BODA may permit a party to file other documents in paper form in a particular case.

- (5) Format. An electronically filed document must:

- (i) be in text-searchable portable document format (PDF);
- (ii) be directly converted to PDF rather than scanned, if possible; and
- (iii) not be locked.

(b) A paper will not be deemed filed if it is sent to an individual BODA member or to another address other than the address designated by BODA under Rule 1.05(a)(2).

(c) **Signing.** Each brief, motion, or other paper filed must be signed by at least one attorney for the party or by the party pro se and must give the State Bar of Texas card number, mailing address, telephone number, email address, and fax number, if any, of each attorney whose name is signed or of the party (if applicable). A document is considered signed if the document includes:

- (1) an “/s/” and name typed in the space where the signature would otherwise appear, unless the document is notarized or sworn; or
- (2) an electronic image or scanned image of the signature.

(d) **Paper Copies.** Unless required by BODA, a party need not file a paper copy of an electronically filed document.

(e) **Service.** Copies of all documents filed by any party other than the record filed by the evidentiary panel clerk or the court reporter must, at or before the time of filing, be served on all other parties as required and authorized by the TRAP.

Rule 1.06. Service of Petition

In any disciplinary proceeding before BODA initiated by service of a petition on the Respondent, the petition must be served by personal service; by certified mail with return receipt requested; or, if permitted by BODA, in any other manner that is authorized by the TRCP and reasonably calculated under all the circumstances to apprise the Respondent of the proceeding and to give him or her reasonable time to appear and answer. To establish service by certified mail, the return receipt must contain the Respondent’s signature.

Rule 1.07. Hearing Setting and Notice

(a) **Original Petitions.** In any kind of case initiated by the CDC’s filing a petition or motion with BODA, the CDC may contact the BODA Clerk for the next regularly available hearing date before filing the original petition. If a hearing is set before the petition is filed, the petition must state the date, time, and place of the hearing. Except in the case of a petition to revoke probation under TRDP 2.23 [2.22], the hearing date must be at least 30 days from the date that the petition is served on the Respondent.

(b) **Expedited Settings.** If a party desires a hearing on a matter on a date earlier than the next regularly available BODA hearing date, the party may request an expedited setting in a written motion setting out the reasons for the

request. Unless the parties agree otherwise, and except in the case of a petition to revoke probation under TRDP 2.23 [2.22], the expedited hearing setting must be at least 30 days from the date of service of the petition, motion, or other pleading. BODA has the sole discretion to grant or deny a request for an expedited hearing date.

(c) **Setting Notices.** BODA must notify the parties of any hearing date that is not noticed in an original petition or motion.

(d) **Announcement Docket.** Attorneys and parties appearing before BODA must confirm their presence and present any questions regarding procedure to the BODA Clerk in the courtroom immediately prior to the time docket call is scheduled to begin. Each party with a matter on the docket must appear at the docket call to give an announcement of readiness, to give a time estimate for the hearing, and to present any preliminary motions or matters. Immediately following the docket call, the Chair will set and announce the order of cases to be heard.

Rule 1.08. Time to Answer

The Respondent may file an answer at any time, except where expressly provided otherwise by these rules or the TRDP, or when an answer date has been set by prior order of BODA. BODA may, but is not required to, consider an answer filed the day of the hearing.

Rule 1.09. Pretrial Procedure

(a) Motions.

(1) Generally. To request an order or other relief, a party must file a motion supported by sufficient cause with proof of service on all other parties. The motion must state with particularity the grounds on which it is based and set forth the relief sought. All supporting briefs, affidavits, or other documents must be served and filed with the motion. A party may file a response to a motion at any time before BODA rules on the motion or by any deadline set by BODA. Unless otherwise required by these rules or the TRDP, the form of a motion must comply with the TRCP or the TRAP.

(2) For Extension of Time. All motions for extension of time in any matter before BODA must be in writing, comply with (a)(1), and specify the following:

- (i) if applicable, the date of notice of decision of the evidentiary panel, together with the number and style of the case;
- (ii) if an appeal has been perfected, the date when the appeal was perfected;
- (iii) the original deadline for filing the item in question;
- (iv) the length of time requested for the extension;
- (v) the number of extensions of time that have been granted previously regarding the item in question; and

(vi) the facts relied on to reasonably explain the need for an extension.

(b) **Pretrial Scheduling Conference.** Any party may request a pretrial scheduling conference, or BODA on its own motion may require a pretrial scheduling conference.

(c) **Trial Briefs.** In any disciplinary proceeding before BODA, except with leave, all trial briefs and memoranda must be filed with the BODA Clerk no later than ten days before the day of the hearing.

(d) **Hearing Exhibits, Witness Lists, and Exhibits Tendered for Argument.** A party may file a witness list, exhibit, or any other document to be used at a hearing or oral argument before the hearing or argument. A party must bring to the hearing an original and 12 copies of any document that was not filed at least one business day before the hearing. The original and copies must be:

- (1) marked;
- (2) indexed with the title or description of the item offered as an exhibit; and
- (3) if voluminous, bound to lie flat when open and tabbed in accordance with the index.

All documents must be marked and provided to the opposing party before the hearing or argument begins.

Rule 1.10. Decisions

(a) **Notice of Decisions.** The BODA Clerk must give notice of all decisions and opinions to the parties or their attorneys of record.

(b) **Publication of Decisions.** BODA must report judgments or orders of public discipline:

- (1) as required by the TRDP; and
- (2) on its website for a period of at least ten years following the date of the disciplinary judgment or order.

(c) **Abstracts of Classification Appeals.** BODA may, in its discretion, prepare an abstract of a classification appeal for a public reporting service.

Rule 1.11. Board of Disciplinary Appeals Opinions

(a) BODA may render judgment in any disciplinary matter with or without written opinion. In accordance with TRDP 6.06, all written opinions of BODA are open to the public and must be made available to the public reporting services, print or electronic, for publishing. A majority of the members who participate in considering the disciplinary matter must determine if an opinion will be written. The names of the participating members must be noted on all written opinions of BODA.

(b) Only a BODA member who participated in the decision of a disciplinary matter may file or join in a written opinion concurring in or dissenting from the judgment of BODA. For purposes of this rule, in hearings in which evidence is taken, no member may participate in

the decision unless that member was present at the hearing. In all other proceedings, no member may participate unless that member has reviewed the record. Any member of BODA may file a written opinion in connection with the denial of a hearing or rehearing en banc.

(c) A BODA determination in an appeal from a grievance classification decision under TRDP 2.10 is not a judgment for purposes of this rule and may be issued without a written opinion.

Rule 1.12. BODA Work Product and Drafts

A document or record of any nature—regardless of its form, characteristics, or means of transmission—that is created or produced in connection with or related to BODA’s adjudicative decision-making process is not subject to disclosure or discovery. This includes documents prepared by any BODA member, BODA staff, or any other person acting on behalf of or at the direction of BODA.

Rule 1.13. Record Retention

Records of appeals from classification decisions must be retained by the BODA Clerk for a period of at least three years from the date of disposition. Records of other disciplinary matters must be retained for a period of at least five years from the date of final judgment, or for at least one year after the date a suspension or disbarment ends, whichever is later. For purposes of this rule, a record is any document, paper, letter, map, book, tape, photograph, film, recording, or other material filed with BODA, regardless of its form, characteristics, or means of transmission.

Rule 1.14. Costs of Reproduction of Records

The BODA Clerk may charge a reasonable amount for the reproduction of nonconfidential records filed with BODA. The fee must be paid in advance to the BODA Clerk.

Rule 1.15. Publication of These Rules

These rules will be published as part of the TDRPC and TRDP.

II. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Rule 2.01. Representing or Counseling Parties in Disciplinary Matters and Legal Malpractice Cases

(a) A current member of BODA must not represent a party or testify voluntarily in a disciplinary action or proceeding. Any BODA member who is subpoenaed or otherwise compelled to appear at a disciplinary action or proceeding, including at a deposition, must promptly notify the BODA Chair.

(b) A current BODA member must not serve as an expert witness on the TDRPC.

(c) A BODA member may represent a party in a legal malpractice case, provided that he or she is later recused in accordance with these rules from any proceeding before BODA arising out of the same facts.

Rule 2.02. Confidentiality

(a) BODA deliberations are confidential, must not be disclosed by BODA members or staff, and are not subject to disclosure or discovery.

(b) Classification appeals, appeals from evidentiary judgments of private reprimand, appeals from an evidentiary judgment dismissing a case, interlocutory appeals or any interim proceedings from an ongoing evidentiary case, and disability cases are confidential under the TRDP. BODA must maintain all records associated with these cases as confidential, subject to disclosure only as provided in the TRDP and these rules.

(c) If a member of BODA is subpoenaed or otherwise compelled by law to testify in any proceeding, the member must not disclose a matter that was discussed in conference in connection with a disciplinary case unless the member is required to do so by a court of competent jurisdiction

Rule 2.03. Disqualification and Recusal of BODA Members

(a) BODA members are subject to disqualification and recusal as provided in TRCP 18b.

(b) BODA members may, in addition to recusals under (a), voluntarily recuse themselves from any discussion and voting for any reason. The reasons that a BODA member is recused from a case are not subject to discovery.

(c) These rules do not disqualify a lawyer who is a member of, or associated with, the law firm of a BODA member from serving on a grievance committee or representing a party in a disciplinary proceeding or legal malpractice case. But a BODA member must recuse him or herself from any matter in which a lawyer who is a member of, or associated with, the BODA member's firm is a party or represents a party.

III. CLASSIFICATION APPEALS

Rule 3.01. Notice of Right to Appeal

(a) If a grievance filed by the Complainant under TRDP 2.10 is classified as an inquiry, the CDC must notify the Complainant of his or her right to appeal as set out in TRDP 2.10 or another applicable rule.

(b) To facilitate the potential filing of an appeal of a grievance classified as an inquiry, the CDC must send the Complainant an appeal notice form, approved by BODA, with the classification disposition. The form must include the docket number of the matter; the deadline for appealing; and information for mailing, faxing, or emailing the appeal notice form to BODA. The appeal notice form must be available in English and Spanish.

Rule 3.02. Record on Appeal

BODA must only consider documents that were filed with the CDC prior to the classification decision. When a notice of appeal from a classification decision has been filed, the CDC must forward to BODA a copy of the grievance and

all supporting documentation. If the appeal challenges the classification of an amended grievance, the CDC must also send BODA a copy of the initial grievance, unless it has been destroyed.

IV. APPEALS FROM EVIDENTIARY PANEL HEARINGS

Rule 4.01. Perfecting Appeal

(a) **Appellate Timetable.** The date that the evidentiary judgment is signed starts the appellate timetable under this section. To make TRDP 2.21 [2.20] consistent with this requirement, the date that the judgment is signed is the "date of notice" under Rule 2.21 [2.20].

(b) **Notification of the Evidentiary Judgment.** The clerk of the evidentiary panel must notify the parties of the judgment as set out in TRDP 2.21 [2.20].

(1) The evidentiary panel clerk must notify the Commission and the Respondent in writing of the judgment. The notice must contain a clear statement that any appeal of the judgment must be filed with BODA within 30 days of the date that the judgment was signed. The notice must include a copy of the judgment rendered.

(2) The evidentiary panel clerk must notify the Complainant that a judgment has been rendered and provide a copy of the judgment, unless the evidentiary panel dismissed the case or imposed a private reprimand. In the case of a dismissal or private reprimand, the evidentiary panel clerk must notify the Complainant of the decision and that the contents of the judgment are confidential. Under TRDP 2.16, no additional information regarding the contents of a judgment of dismissal or private reprimand may be disclosed to the Complainant.

(c) **Filing Notice of Appeal.** An appeal is perfected when a written notice of appeal is filed with BODA. If a notice of appeal and any other accompanying documents are mistakenly filed with the evidentiary panel clerk, the notice is deemed to have been filed the same day with BODA, and the evidentiary panel clerk must immediately send the BODA Clerk a copy of the notice and any accompanying documents.

(d) **Time to File.** In accordance with TRDP 2.24 [2.23], the notice of appeal must be filed within 30 days after the date the judgment is signed. In the event a motion for new trial or motion to modify the judgment is timely filed with the evidentiary panel, the notice of appeal must be filed with BODA within 90 days from the date the judgment is signed.

(e) **Extension of Time.** A motion for an extension of time to file the notice of appeal must be filed no later than 15 days after the last day allowed for filing the notice of appeal. The motion must comply with Rule 1.09.

Rule 4.02. Record on Appeal

(a) **Contents.** The record on appeal consists of the evidentiary panel clerk's record and, where necessary to the appeal, a reporter's record of the evidentiary panel hearing.

(b) **Stipulation as to Record.** The parties may designate parts of the clerk's record and the reporter's record to be included in the record on appeal by written stipulation filed with the clerk of the evidentiary panel.

(c) Responsibility for Filing Record.

(1) Clerk's Record.

(i) After receiving notice that an appeal has been filed, the clerk of the evidentiary panel is responsible for preparing, certifying, and timely filing the clerk's record.

(ii) Unless the parties stipulate otherwise, the clerk's record on appeal must contain the items listed in TRAP 34.5(a) and any other paper on file with the evidentiary panel, including the election letter, all pleadings on which the hearing was held, the docket sheet, the evidentiary panel's charge, any findings of fact and conclusions of law, all other pleadings, the judgment or other orders appealed from, the notice of decision sent to each party, any postsubmission pleadings and briefs, and the notice of appeal.

(iii) If the clerk of the evidentiary panel is unable for any reason to prepare and transmit the clerk's record by the due date, he or she must promptly notify BODA and the parties, explain why the clerk's record cannot be timely filed, and give the date by which he or she expects the clerk's record to be filed.

(2) Reporter's Record.

(i) The court reporter for the evidentiary panel is responsible for timely filing the reporter's record if:

- a) a notice of appeal has been filed;
- b) a party has requested that all or part of the reporter's record be prepared; and
- c) the party requesting all or part of the reporter's record has paid the reporter's fee or has made satisfactory arrangements with the reporter.

(ii) If the court reporter is unable for any reason to prepare and transmit the reporter's record by the due date, he or she must promptly notify BODA and the parties, explain the reasons why the reporter's record cannot be timely filed, and give the date by which he or she expects the reporter's record to be filed.

(d) Preparation of Clerk's Record.

(1) To prepare the clerk's record, the evidentiary panel clerk must:

- (i) gather the documents designated by the parties'

written stipulation or, if no stipulation was filed, the documents required under (c)(1)(ii);

(ii) start each document on a new page;

(iii) include the date of filing on each document;

(iv) arrange the documents in chronological order, either by the date of filing or the date of occurrence;

(v) number the pages of the clerk's record in the manner required by (d)(2);

(vi) prepare and include, after the front cover of the clerk's record, a detailed table of contents that complies with (d)(3); and

(vii) certify the clerk's record.

(2) The clerk must start the page numbering on the front cover of the first volume of the clerk's record and continue to number all pages consecutively—including the front and back covers, tables of contents, certification page, and separator pages, if any—until the final page of the clerk's record, without regard for the number of volumes in the clerk's record, and place each page number at the bottom of each page.

(3) The table of contents must:

(i) identify each document in the entire record (including sealed documents); the date each document was filed; and, except for sealed documents, the page on which each document begins;

(ii) be double-spaced;

(iii) conform to the order in which documents appear in the clerk's record, rather than in alphabetical order;

(iv) contain bookmarks linking each description in the table of contents (except for descriptions of sealed documents) to the page on which the document begins; and

(v) if the record consists of multiple volumes, indicate the page on which each volume begins.

(e) **Electronic Filing of the Clerk's Record.** The evidentiary panel clerk must file the record electronically. When filing a clerk's record in electronic form, the evidentiary panel clerk must:

(1) file each computer file in text-searchable Portable Document Format (PDF);

(2) create electronic bookmarks to mark the first page of each document in the clerk's record;

(3) limit the size of each computer file to 100 MB or less, if possible; and

(4) directly convert, rather than scan, the record to PDF, if possible.

(f) **Preparation of the Reporter's Record.**

(1) The appellant, at or before the time prescribed for

perfecting the appeal, must make a written request for the reporter's record to the court reporter for the evidentiary panel. The request must designate the portion of the evidence and other proceedings to be included. A copy of the request must be filed with the evidentiary panel and BODA and must be served on the appellee. The reporter's record must be certified by the court reporter for the evidentiary panel.

(2) The court reporter or recorder must prepare and file the reporter's record in accordance with TRAP 34.6 and 35 and the Uniform Format Manual for Texas Reporters' Records.

(3) The court reporter or recorder must file the reporter's record in an electronic format by emailing the document to the email address designated by BODA for that purpose.

(4) The court reporter or recorder must include either a scanned image of any required signature or "/s/" and name typed in the space where the signature would otherwise

(6¹) In exhibit volumes, the court reporter or recorder must create bookmarks to mark the first page of each exhibit document.

(g) **Other Requests.** At any time before the clerk's record is prepared, or within ten days after service of a copy of appellant's request for the reporter's record, any party may file a written designation requesting that additional exhibits and portions of testimony be included in the record. The request must be filed with the evidentiary panel and BODA and must be served on the other party.

(h) **Inaccuracies or Defects.** If the clerk's record is found to be defective or inaccurate, the BODA Clerk must inform the clerk of the evidentiary panel of the defect or inaccuracy and instruct the clerk to make the correction. Any inaccuracies in the reporter's record may be corrected by agreement of the parties without the court reporter's recertification. Any dispute regarding the reporter's record that the parties are unable to resolve by agreement must be resolved by the evidentiary panel.

(i) **Appeal from Private Reprimand.** Under TRDP 2.16, in an appeal from a judgment of private reprimand, BODA must mark the record as confidential, remove the attorney's name from the case style, and take any other steps necessary to preserve the confidentiality of the private reprimand.

¹ So in original.

Rule 4.03. Time to File Record

(a) **Timetable.** The clerk's record and reporter's record must be filed within 60 days after the date the judgment is signed. If a motion for new trial or motion to modify the judgment is filed with the evidentiary panel, the clerk's record and the reporter's record must be filed within 120 days from the date the original judgment is signed, unless

a modified judgment is signed, in which case the clerk's record and the reporter's record must be filed within 60 days of the signing of the modified judgment. Failure to file either the clerk's record or the reporter's record on time does not affect BODA's jurisdiction, but may result in BODA's exercising its discretion to dismiss the appeal, affirm the judgment appealed from, disregard materials filed late, or apply presumptions against the appellant.

(b) If No Record Filed.

(1) If the clerk's record or reporter's record has not been timely filed, the BODA Clerk must send notice to the party responsible for filing it, stating that the record is late and requesting that the record be filed within 30 days. The BODA Clerk must send a copy of this notice to all the parties and the clerk of the evidentiary panel.

(2) If no reporter's record is filed due to appellant's fault, and if the clerk's record has been filed, BODA may, after first giving the appellant notice and a reasonable opportunity to cure, consider and decide those issues or points that do not require a reporter's record for a decision. BODA may do this if no reporter's record has been filed because:

(i) the appellant failed to request a reporter's record; or

(ii) the appellant failed to pay or make arrangements to pay the reporter's fee to prepare the reporter's record, and the appellant is not entitled to proceed without payment of costs.

(c) Extension of Time to File the Reporter's Record.

When an extension of time is requested for filing the reporter's record, the facts relied on to reasonably explain the need for an extension must be supported by an affidavit of the court reporter. The affidavit must include the court reporter's estimate of the earliest date when the reporter's record will be available for filing.

(d) **Supplemental Record.** If anything material to either party is omitted from the clerk's record or reporter's record, BODA may, on written motion of a party or on its own motion, direct a supplemental record to be certified and transmitted by the clerk for the evidentiary panel or the court reporter for the evidentiary panel.

Rule 4.04. Copies of the Record

The record may not be withdrawn from the custody of the BODA Clerk. Any party may obtain a copy of the record or any designated part thereof by making a written request to the BODA Clerk and paying any charges for reproduction in advance.

Rule 4.05. Requisites of Briefs

(a) **Appellant's Filing Date.** Appellant's brief must be filed within 30 days after the clerk's record or the reporter's record is filed, whichever is later.

(b) **Appellee's Filing Date.** Appellee's brief must be filed

within 30 days after the appellant's brief is filed.

(c) Contents. Briefs must contain:

- (1) a complete list of the names and addresses of all parties to the final decision and their counsel;
- (2) a table of contents indicating the subject matter of each issue or point, or group of issues or points, with page references where the discussion of each point relied on may be found;
- (3) an index of authorities arranged alphabetically and indicating the pages where the authorities are cited;
- (4) a statement of the case containing a brief general statement of the nature of the cause or offense and the result;
- (5) a statement, without argument, of the basis of BODA's jurisdiction;
- (6) a statement of the issues presented for review or points of error on which the appeal is predicated;
- (7) a statement of facts that is without argument, is supported by record references, and details the facts relating to the issues or points relied on in the appeal;
- (8) the argument and authorities;
- (9) conclusion and prayer for relief;
- (10) a certificate of service; and
- (11) an appendix of record excerpts pertinent to the issues presented for review.

(d) Length of Briefs; Contents Included and Excluded.

In calculating the length of a document, every word and every part of the document, including headings, footnotes, and quotations, must be counted except the following: caption, identity of the parties and counsel, statement regarding oral argument, table of contents, index of authorities, statement of the case, statement of issues presented, statement of the jurisdiction, signature, proof of service, certificate of compliance, and appendix. Briefs must not exceed 15,000 words if computer-generated, and 50 pages if not, except on leave of BODA. A reply brief must not exceed 7,500 words if computer-generated, and 25 pages if not, except on leave of BODA. A computer generated document must include a certificate by counsel or the unrepresented party stating the number of words in the document. The person who signs the certification may rely on the word count of the computer program used to prepare the document.

(e) Amendment or Supplementation. BODA has discretion to grant leave to amend or supplement briefs.

(f) Failure of the Appellant to File a Brief. If the appellant fails to timely file a brief, BODA may:

- (1) dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution, unless the appellant reasonably explains the failure, and the appellee is not significantly injured by the appellant's

failure to timely file a brief;

(2) decline to dismiss the appeal and make further orders within its discretion as it considers proper; or

(3) if an appellee's brief is filed, regard that brief as correctly presenting the case and affirm the evidentiary panel's judgment on that brief without examining the record.

Rule 4.06. Oral Argument

(a) Request. A party desiring oral argument must note the request on the front cover of the party's brief. A party's failure to timely request oral argument waives the party's right to argue. A party who has requested argument may later withdraw the request. But even if a party has waived oral argument, BODA may direct the party to appear and argue. If oral argument is granted, the clerk will notify the parties of the time and place for submission.

(b) Right to Oral Argument. A party who has filed a brief and who has timely requested oral argument may argue the case to BODA unless BODA, after examining the briefs, decides that oral argument is unnecessary for any of the following reasons:

- (1) the appeal is frivolous;
- (2) the dispositive issue or issues have been authoritatively decided;
- (3) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented in the briefs and record; or
- (4) the decisional process would not be significantly aided by oral argument.

(c) Time Allowed. Each party will have 20 minutes to argue. BODA may, on the request of a party or on its own, extend or shorten the time allowed for oral argument. The appellant may reserve a portion of his or her allotted time for rebuttal.

Rule 4.07. Decision and Judgment

(a) Decision. BODA may do any of the following:

- (1) affirm in whole or in part the decision of the evidentiary panel;
- (2) modify the panel's findings and affirm the findings as modified;
- (3) reverse in whole or in part the panel's findings and render the decision that the panel should have rendered; or
- (4) reverse the panel's findings and remand the cause for further proceedings to be conducted by:
 - (i) the panel that entered the findings; or
 - (ii) a statewide grievance committee panel appointed by BODA and composed of members selected from the state bar districts other than the district from which the appeal was taken.

(b) Mandate. In every appeal, the BODA Clerk must issue a mandate in accordance with BODA's judgment and send it to the evidentiary panel and to all the parties.

Rule 4.08. Appointment of Statewide Grievance Committee

If BODA remands a cause for further proceedings before a statewide grievance committee, the BODA Chair will appoint the statewide grievance committee in accordance with TRDP 2.27 [2.26]. The committee must consist of six members: four attorney members and two public members randomly selected from the current pool of grievance committee members. Two alternates, consisting of one attorney and one public member, must also be selected. BODA will appoint the initial chair who will serve until the members of the statewide grievance committee elect a chair of the committee at the first meeting. The BODA Clerk will notify the Respondent and the CDC that a committee has been appointed.

Rule 4.09. Involuntary Dismissal

Under the following circumstances and on any party's motion or on its own initiative after giving at least ten days' notice to all parties, BODA may dismiss the appeal or affirm the appealed judgment or order. Dismissal or affirmance may occur if the appeal is subject to dismissal:

- (a) for want of jurisdiction;
- (b) for want of prosecution; or
- (c) because the appellant has failed to comply with a requirement of these rules, a court order, or a notice from the clerk requiring a response or other action within a specified time.

V. PETITIONS TO REVOKE PROBATION

Rule 5.01. Initiation and Service

(a) Before filing a motion to revoke the probation of an attorney who has been sanctioned, the CDC must contact the BODA Clerk to confirm whether the next regularly available hearing date will comply with the 30-day requirement of TRDP. The Chair may designate a three-member panel to hear the motion, if necessary, to meet the 30-day requirement of TRDP 2.23 [2.22].

(b) Upon filing the motion, the CDC must serve the Respondent with the motion and any supporting documents in accordance with TRDP 2.23 [2.22], the TRCP, and these rules. The CDC must notify BODA of the date that service is obtained on the Respondent.

Rule 5.02. Hearing

Within 30 days of service of the motion on the Respondent, BODA must docket and set the matter for a hearing and notify the parties of the time and place of the hearing. On a showing of good cause by a party or on its own motion, BODA may continue the case to a future hearing date as circumstances require.

VI. COMPULSORY DISCIPLINE

Rule 6.01. Initiation of Proceeding

Under TRDP 8.03, the CDC must file a petition for compulsory discipline with BODA and serve the Respondent in accordance with the TRDP and Rule 1.06 of these rules.

Rule 6.02. Interlocutory Suspension

(a) **Interlocutory Suspension.** In any compulsory proceeding under TRDP Part VIII in which BODA determines that the Respondent has been convicted of an Intentional Crime and that the criminal conviction is on direct appeal, BODA must suspend the Respondent's license to practice law by interlocutory order. In any compulsory case in which BODA has imposed an interlocutory order of suspension, BODA retains jurisdiction to render final judgment after the direct appeal of the criminal conviction is final. For purposes of rendering final judgment in a compulsory discipline case, the direct appeal of the criminal conviction is final when the appellate court issues its mandate.

(b) **Criminal Conviction Affirmed.** If the criminal conviction made the basis of a compulsory interlocutory suspension is affirmed and becomes final, the CDC must file a motion for final judgment that complies with TRDP 8.05.

(1) If the criminal sentence is fully probated or is an order of deferred adjudication, the motion for final judgment must contain notice of a hearing date. The motion will be set on BODA's next available hearing date.

(2) If the criminal sentence is not fully probated:

- (i) BODA may proceed to decide the motion without a hearing if the attorney does not file a verified denial within ten days of service of the motion; or
- (ii) BODA may set the motion for a hearing on the next available hearing date if the attorney timely files a verified denial.

(c) **Criminal Conviction Reversed.** If an appellate court issues a mandate reversing the criminal conviction while a Respondent is subject to an interlocutory suspension, the Respondent may file a motion to terminate the interlocutory suspension. The motion to terminate the interlocutory suspension must have certified copies of the decision and mandate of the reversing court attached. If the CDC does not file an opposition to the termination within ten days of being served with the motion, BODA may proceed to decide the motion without a hearing or set the matter for a hearing on its own motion. If the CDC timely opposes the motion, BODA must set the motion for a hearing on its next available hearing date. An order terminating an interlocutory order of suspension does not automatically reinstate a Respondent's license.

VII. RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINE

Rule 7.01. Initiation of Proceeding

To initiate an action for reciprocal discipline under TRDP Part IX, the CDC must file a petition with BODA and request an Order to Show Cause. The petition must request that the Respondent be disciplined in Texas and have attached to it any information concerning the disciplinary matter from the other jurisdiction, including a certified copy of the order or judgment rendered against the Respondent.

Rule 7.02. Order to Show Cause

When a petition is filed, the Chair immediately issues a show cause order and a hearing notice and forwards them to the CDC, who must serve the order and notice on the Respondent. The CDC must notify BODA of the date that service is obtained.

Rule 7.03. Attorney's Response

If the Respondent does not file an answer within 30 days of being served with the order and notice but thereafter appears at the hearing, BODA may, at the discretion of the Chair, receive testimony from the Respondent relating to the merits of the petition.

VIII. DISTRICT DISABILITY COMMITTEE HEARINGS

Rule 8.01. Appointment of District Disability Committee

(a) If the evidentiary panel of the grievance committee finds under TRDP 2.17(P)(2), or the CDC reasonably believes under TRDP 2.14(C), that a Respondent is suffering from a disability, the rules in this section will apply to the de novo proceeding before the District Disability Committee held under TRDP Part XII.

(b) Upon receiving an evidentiary panel's finding or the CDC's referral that an attorney is believed to be suffering from a disability, the BODA Chair must appoint a District Disability Committee in compliance with TRDP 12.02 and designate a chair. BODA will reimburse District Disability Committee members for reasonable expenses directly related to service on the District Disability Committee. The BODA Clerk must notify the CDC and the Respondent that a committee has been appointed and notify the Respondent where to locate the procedural rules governing disability proceedings.

(c) A Respondent who has been notified that a disability referral will be or has been made to BODA may, at any time, waive in writing the appointment of the District Disability Committee or the hearing before the District Disability Committee and enter into an agreed judgment of indefinite disability suspension, provided that the Respondent is competent to waive the hearing. If the Respondent is not represented, the waiver must include a statement affirming that the Respondent has been advised of the right to appointed counsel and waives that right as well.

(d) All pleadings, motions, briefs, or other matters to be filed with the District Disability Committee must be filed with the BODA Clerk.

(e) Should any member of the District Disability Committee become unable to serve, the BODA Chair must appoint a substitute member.

Rule 8.02. Petition and Answer

(a) **Petition.** Upon being notified that the District Disability Committee has been appointed by BODA, the CDC must, within 20 days, file with the BODA Clerk and serve on the Respondent a copy of a petition for indefinite disability suspension. Service must comply with Rule 1.06.

(b) **Answer.** The Respondent must, within 30 days after service of the petition for indefinite disability suspension, file an answer with the BODA Clerk and serve a copy of the answer on the CDC.

(c) **Hearing Setting.** The BODA Clerk must set the final hearing as instructed by the chair of the District Disability Committee and send notice of the hearing to the parties.

Rule 8.03. Discovery

(a) **Limited Discovery.** The District Disability Committee may permit limited discovery. The party seeking discovery must file with the BODA Clerk a written request that makes a clear showing of good cause and substantial need and a proposed order. If the District Disability Committee authorizes discovery in a case, it must issue a written order. The order may impose limitations or deadlines on the discovery.

(b) **Physical or Mental Examinations.** On written motion by the Commission or on its own motion, the District Disability Committee may order the Respondent to submit to a physical or mental examination by a qualified healthcare or mental healthcare professional. Nothing in this rule limits the Respondent's right to an examination by a professional of his or her choice in addition to any exam ordered by the District Disability Committee.

(1) **Motion.** The Respondent must be given reasonable notice of the examination by written order specifying the name, address, and telephone number of the person conducting the examination.

(2) **Report.** The examining professional must file with the BODA Clerk a detailed, written report that includes the results of all tests performed and the professional's findings, diagnoses, and conclusions. The professional must send a copy of the report to the CDC and the Respondent.

(c) **Objections.** A party must make any objection to a request for discovery within 15 days of receiving the motion by filing a written objection with the BODA Clerk. BODA may decide any objection or contest to a discovery motion.

Rule 8.04. Ability to Compel Attendance

The Respondent and the CDC may confront and cross-examine witnesses at the hearing. Compulsory process to compel the attendance of witnesses by subpoena, enforceable by an order of a district court of proper jurisdiction, is available to the Respondent and the CDC as provided in TRCP 176.

Rule 8.05. Respondent's Right to Counsel

(a) The notice to the Respondent that a District Disability Committee has been appointed and the petition for indefinite disability suspension must state that the Respondent may request appointment of counsel by BODA to represent him or her at the disability hearing. BODA will reimburse appointed counsel for reasonable expenses directly related to representation of the Respondent.

(b) To receive appointed counsel under TRDP 12.02, the Respondent must file a written request with the BODA Clerk within 30 days of the date that Respondent is served with the petition for indefinite disability suspension. A late request must demonstrate good cause for the Respondent's failure to file a timely request.

Rule 8.06. Hearing

The party seeking to establish the disability must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the Respondent is suffering from a disability as defined in the TRDP. The chair of the District Disability Committee must admit all relevant evidence that is necessary for a fair and complete hearing. The TRE are advisory but not binding on the chair.

Rule 8.07. Notice of Decision

The District Disability Committee must certify its finding regarding disability to BODA, which will issue the final judgment in the matter.

Rule 8.08. Confidentiality

All proceedings before the District Disability Committee and BODA, if necessary, are closed to the public. All matters before the District Disability Committee are confidential and are not subject to disclosure or discovery, except as allowed by the TRDP or as may be required in the event of an appeal to the Supreme Court of Texas.

IX. DISABILITY REINSTATEMENTS

Rule 9.01. Petition for Reinstatement

(a) An attorney under an indefinite disability suspension may, at any time after he or she has been suspended, file a verified petition with BODA to have the suspension terminated and to be reinstated to the practice of law. The petitioner must serve a copy of the petition on the CDC in the manner required by TRDP 12.06. The TRCP apply to a reinstatement proceeding unless they conflict with these rules.

(b) The petition must include the information required by TRDP 12.06. If the judgment of disability suspension

contained terms or conditions relating to misconduct by the petitioner prior to the suspension, the petition must affirmatively demonstrate that those terms have been complied with or explain why they have not been satisfied. The petitioner has a duty to amend and keep current all information in the petition until the final hearing on the merits. Failure to do so may result in dismissal without notice.

(c) Disability reinstatement proceedings before BODA are not confidential; however, BODA may make all or any part of the record of the proceeding confidential.

Rule 9.02. Discovery

The discovery period is 60 days from the date that the petition for reinstatement is filed. The BODA Clerk will set the petition for a hearing on the first date available after the close of the discovery period and must notify the parties of the time and place of the hearing. BODA may continue the hearing for good cause shown.

Rule 9.03. Physical or Mental Examinations

(a) On written motion by the Commission or on its own, BODA may order the petitioner seeking reinstatement to submit to a physical or mental examination by a qualified healthcare or mental healthcare professional. The petitioner must be served with a copy of the motion and given at least seven days to respond. BODA may hold a hearing before ruling on the motion but is not required to do so.

(b) The petitioner must be given reasonable notice of the examination by written order specifying the name, address, and telephone number of the person conducting the examination.

(c) The examining professional must file a detailed, written report that includes the results of all tests performed and the professional's findings, diagnoses, and conclusions. The professional must send a copy of the report to the parties.

(d) If the petitioner fails to submit to an examination as ordered, BODA may dismiss the petition without notice.

(e) Nothing in this rule limits the petitioner's right to an examination by a professional of his or her choice in addition to any exam ordered by BODA.

Rule 9.04. Judgment

If, after hearing all the evidence, BODA determines that the petitioner is not eligible for reinstatement, BODA may, in its discretion, either enter an order denying the petition or direct that the petition be held in abeyance for a reasonable period of time until the petitioner provides additional proof as directed by BODA. The judgment may include other orders necessary to protect the public and the petitioner's potential clients.

X. APPEALS FROM BODA TO THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

Rule 10.01. Appeals to the Supreme Court

(a) A final decision by BODA, except a determination that a statement constitutes an inquiry or a complaint under TRDP 2.10, may be appealed to the Supreme Court of Texas. The clerk of the Supreme Court of Texas must docket an appeal from a decision by BODA in the same manner as a petition for review without fee.

(b) The appealing party must file the notice of appeal directly with the clerk of the Supreme Court of Texas within 14 days of receiving notice of a final determination by BODA. The record must be filed within 60 days after BODA's determination. The appealing party's brief is due 30 days after the record is filed, and the responding party's brief is due 30 days thereafter. The BODA Clerk must send the parties a notice of BODA's final decision that includes the information in this paragraph.

(c) An appeal to the Supreme Court is governed by TRDP 7.11 and the TRAP.