



**BEFORE THE BOARD OF DISCIPLINARY APPEALS  
APPOINTED BY THE  
SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS**

**IN THE MATTER OF** §  
**JAMES CHRISTOPHER PITTMAN,** § **CAUSE NO. 73020**  
**STATE BAR CARD NO. 24013337** §

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE**

On this day, the Board of Disciplinary Appeals considered the Motion for Continuance filed by Respondent, James Christopher Pittman, in the above-captioned compulsory disciplinary case. Counsel for Mr. Pittman requested the continuance because he has a previously-scheduled vacation. Petitioner, the Commission for Lawyer Discipline, filed a Response in opposition to the Motion. In the Response, the Commission cited Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 251, which provides that “No application for a continuance shall be heard before the defendant files his defense, nor shall any continuance be granted except for sufficient cause supported by affidavit, or by consent of the parties, or by operation of law.”

Mr. Pittman has not filed an answer or any other form of defense, nor was his Motion supported by affidavit, declaration, consent, or operation of law. In addition, Mr. Pittman did not reply to the Commission’s Response.

Therefore, the Board **ORDERS** that Respondent’s Motion for Continuance is **DENIED**. The Board **ORDERS** that the hearing remains as scheduled on July 31, 2026, at 9 a.m. in the courtroom of the Supreme Court of Texas.

SIGNED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of June 2026.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, consisting of several loops and a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

---

**CHAIR PRESIDING**