



BEFORE THE BOARD OF DISCIPLINARY APPEALS
Appointed By
THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

ARMANDO TREVINO

State Bar of Texas Card No.20211100

v.

**COMMISSION FOR LAWYER DISCIPLINE
OF THE STATE BAR OF TEXAS**

§
§
§
§
§

CAUSE NO. 51629


ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION

On this day came on to be heard the Board of Disciplinary Appeals' own motion to dismiss the appeal filed by Armando Trevino for want of prosecution. After reviewing the papers filed in the matter, the Board finds that the Appellant filed the notice of appeal of the judgment of fully probated suspension signed on October 2, 2012 by the District 12 evidentiary panel in case no. S0011124798 on December 28, 2012. The clerk's record and the reporter's record from the evidentiary hearing were due to be filed on or before January 29, 2013. The Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel of the State Bar of Texas ("CDC") filed the clerk's record on January 30, 2013. On March 12, 2013, the Appellant filed a motion for extension of time to file the reporter's record and appellant's brief. The motion was granted and the reporter's record was due April 15, 2013 and the brief was due on or before May 13, 2013. Neither the reporter's record nor the appellant's brief has been filed, and Appellant has not requested an extension of time to file the reporter's record or his brief.

On May 28, 2013 this Board issued its Order to Show Cause wherein the Appellant was ordered to respond and show cause as to why the cause should not be dismissed for want of prosecution. Appellant did not respond or file a brief or request an extension of time to do so.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this appeal is hereby **DISMISSED** for want of prosecution with prejudice to refile.

SIGNED this 10th day of July 2013.



CHAIR PRESIDING